

Residues of q -Hypergeometric Integrals and Characters of Affine Lie Algebras

Atsushi Nakayashiki*

Abstract

We study certain subspaces of solutions to the sl_2 rational qKZ equation at level zero. Each subspace is specified by the vanishing of the residue at a certain divisor which stems from models in two dimensional integrable field theories. We determine the character of the subspace which is parametrized by the number of variables and the sl_2 weight of the solutions. The sum of all characters with a fixed weight gives rise to the branching functions of the irreducible representations of sl_2 in the level one integrable highest weight representations of $\widehat{sl_2}$. It is written in the fermionic form.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study a problem arising from the study of two dimensional integrable quantum field theories. It is related with the calculation of the character of the space of local operators.

Let us first recall the known simplest example to give a preliminary insight on what kind of problem we are going to study. For the two dimensional massive Ising field theory in zero magnetic field, the problem of classifying local operators reduces to classifying the solutions to the equation [2, 3, 6]

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, -x) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a symmetric polynomial. If we denote R_n the ring of symmetric polynomials of x_j 's, the space of solutions of (1) is given by

$$M_n := R_n \Delta_n^+, \quad \Delta_n^+ = \prod_{i < j}^n (x_i + x_j).$$

Specifying $\deg x_i = 1, \forall i$, M_n decomposes into the direct sum of the degree d subspaces $M_n(d)$. The generating function of the dimension of $M_n(d)$ is calculated as

$$\text{ch } M_n := \sum_d q^d \dim M_n(d) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}}{(q)_n}, \quad (q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j).$$

Let $M^{(i)}$, $i = 0, 1$ be the direct sum of M_n with n being even for $i = 0$ and odd for $i = 1$. Then

$$\text{ch } M^{(i)} = \sum_{n \equiv i \pmod{2}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}}{(q)_n}.$$

*Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu 4-2-1, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan, e-mail: 6vertex@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

These infinite sums can be rewritten into the infinite product form [3, 6]

$$\text{ch } M^{(0)} = \text{ch } M^{(1)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^j).$$

The last expression is the character of the Fock space of free fermions. The result is expected because the two dimensional Ising model in a zero magnetic field is described, at the critical point, by the free fermion conformal field theory.

The two particle S -matrix of the Ising model is -1 . To go beyond the Ising model there are two possibilities. One is to consider models with more complicated but diagonal S -matrices. In this case similar calculations were carried for several models in [6]. The other is to consider models with non-diagonal S -matrices. Up to now no similar calculations have been successful in such cases.

In this paper we carry out similar calculations for the simplest model with a non-diagonal S -matrix, the $SU(2)$ invariant Thirring model [16]. In this case the symmetric polynomial f in (1) is replaced by a tensor valued function satisfying certain system of equations. The solutions to this system of equations are given by multi-dimensional q -hypergeometric integrals. For these integrals we have to solve the equation corresponding to (1). Thus the problem we consider looks far more complicated than the Ising case. Nevertheless we can solve this problem completely as shown in this paper. Let us explain our results supplying more precise statements.

Let V be a two dimensional vector space and $S(\beta)$ the linear operator acting on $V^{\otimes 2}$ defined by

$$S(\beta) = S_0(\beta)\hat{S}(\beta), \quad \hat{S}(\beta) = \frac{\beta - \pi i P}{\beta - \pi i}, \quad S_0(\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\pi i + \beta}{2\pi i})\Gamma(\frac{-\beta}{2\pi i})}{\Gamma(\frac{\pi i - \beta}{2\pi i})\Gamma(\frac{\beta}{2\pi i})},$$

where P is the operator which permutes the components of $V^{\otimes 2}$. In general for a linear operator A acting on $V^{\otimes 2}$, we denote A_{ij} the operator on $V^{\otimes n}$ which acts i -th and j -th components as A and other components as an identity.

Consider the system of equations for a $V^{\otimes n}$ -valued function f :

$$P_{i,i+1}S_{i,i+1}(\beta_i - \beta_{i+1})f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = f(\dots, \beta_{i+1}, \beta_i, \dots), \quad (2)$$

$$P_{n-1,n}P_{n-1,n-2} \dots P_{1,2}f(\beta_1 - 2\pi i, \dots, \beta_n) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}f(\beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_1). \quad (3)$$

This set of equations implies the sl_2 rational qKZ equation at level zero [4, 15] and it can be solved in terms of multi-dimensional q -hypergeometric integrals [8, 11, 14, 16]. In this description of solutions, meromorphic solutions of (2) and (3) are parametrized by anti-symmetric polynomials $P(X_1, \dots, X_\ell)$ of X_a 's of degree less than n in each variable with the coefficients in the space C_n of symmetric and $2\pi i$ -periodic meromorphic functions of β_j 's, here ℓ specifies the sl_2 weight of a solution. We restrict ourselves to the case where the coefficients are in the space of symmetric polynomials of $x_j = e^{\beta_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and write P as $P(X_1, \dots, X_\ell | x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We denote by Ψ_P the solution corresponding to P . It is known [12] that Ψ_P , as a function of β_n , has at most a simple pole at $\beta_n = \beta_{n-1} + \pi i$. Then the condition corresponding to (1) is

$$\text{Res}_{\beta_n = \beta_{n-1} + \pi i} f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = 0. \quad (4)$$

This condition should be rewritten as the condition for P . In fact we have derived equations for P which imply (4). They are

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_{\ell-1}, \pm x^{-1} | x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, -x) = 0. \quad (5)$$

We conjecture that these equations are equivalent to (4).

Let $U_{n,\ell}$ be the space of solutions of (5). Since P is an anti-symmetric polynomials of X_a 's, it can be considered as an element of the ℓ -th exterior product of a free R_n -module with the monomials X^j as a basis:

$$P \in \wedge^\ell H^{(n)}, \quad H^{(n)} = \oplus_{j=0}^{n-1} R_n X^j.$$

There exist two special solutions

$$\Xi_1 \in U_{n,1}, \quad \Xi_2 \in U_{n,2},$$

for which Ψ_{Ξ_1} and Ψ_{Ξ_2} vanish identically [11, 17, 18]. Moreover a polynomial P with the coefficients in C_n such that Ψ_P vanishes identically belongs to the space [18]

$$\wedge^{\ell-1} \hat{H}^{(n)} \wedge \Xi_1 + \wedge^{\ell-2} \hat{H}^{(n)} \wedge \Xi_2, \quad \hat{H}^{(n)} = \oplus_{j=0}^{n-1} C_n X^j.$$

With this respect we consider the space

$$M_{n,\ell} := \frac{U_{n,\ell}}{U_{n,\ell-1} \wedge \Xi_1 + U_{n,\ell-2} \wedge \Xi_2},$$

and, for a non-negative integer λ ,

$$M_\lambda^{(i)} = \oplus_{n \equiv i \bmod 2, n-2\ell=\lambda} M_{n,\ell}.$$

We define a degree, denoted by $\deg_1$, assigning $\deg_1 X_a = -1$, $\deg_1 x_j = 1$. If P is homogeneous by $\deg_1$, Ψ_P becomes homogeneous of degree

$$\deg_2 P = \frac{n^2}{4} + \deg_1 P, \quad P \in U_{n,\ell}.$$

We introduce a grading on $M_\lambda^{(i)}$ by $\deg_2$. Then

Theorem 1

$$\text{ch } M_\lambda^{(i)} = \sum_{n-2\ell=\lambda, n \equiv i \bmod 2} \frac{q^{\frac{n^2}{4}}}{(q)_n} \left(\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_q - \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \right), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_\ell (q)_{n-\ell}}.$$

For a non-negative half integer S let

$$\chi_S(z) = \frac{z^{2S+1} - z^{-(2S+1)}}{z - z^{-1}}$$

be the character of the $2S+1$ -dimensional irreducible representation of sl_2 . An easy calculation shows

$$\sum_{\lambda \equiv i \bmod 2} \chi_{\lambda/2} \text{ch } M_\lambda^{(i)} = \sum_{n \equiv i \bmod 2} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{z^{n-2\ell} q^{\frac{n^2}{4}}}{(q)_\ell (q)_{n-\ell}}.$$

The last expression is the fermionic form of the character of the level one integrable highest weight representation $V(\Lambda_i)$ of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{sl}_2$ presented in [5, 10]. The formula (6) gives the branching function of the $\lambda + 1$ -dimensional irreducible representation of sl_2 in $V(\Lambda_i)$.

Finally we remark that, for the Ising model, it is possible to construct a local operator, in the form of a set of form factors, to each element of $M^{(i)}$ [2, 3]. The problem of constructing form factors corresponding to the elements of $M_\lambda^{(0)}$ will be studied in the subsequent paper.

The present paper is organized in the following manner. In section 2 the null residue equation (5) for a polynomial P is derived. From section 3 to section 9 is devoted to the case n even. We study the solution of (5) with $\ell = 1$ in section 3. The module $U_{2n,1}$ is proved to be a free R_{2n} -module by giving its basis explicitly. In section 4 the space $U_{2n,2}$ is studied. Again we prove that $U_{2n,2}$ is a free R_{2n} -module by constructing a basis. In section 5 we present a candidate of a basis of $U_{n,\ell}$ in the space of exterior product of solutions for $\ell = 1$ and 2. Some combinatorial identity related with q -binomials and q -tetranomials are proved as a preparation of the study of $U_{n,\ell}$ for general ℓ . In section 6 the structure theorem of $U_{2n,\ell}$ is given and a proof of it is presented assuming the linear independence of the basis. Sections 7 and 8 are devoted to the proof of the linear independence of the basis. The character formula (6) with n even is derived in section 9. In section 10 the results on the case n odd are presented and proved. In appendix A the details of calculations of the residue in section 2 is given.

2 Null residue equation

Let R_n be the ring of symmetric polynomials of $x_1, \dots, x_n$. We consider the space of polynomials in X of degree at most $n - 1$ with the coefficients in R_n :

$$H^{(n)} := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} R_n X^k.$$

The ℓ -th exterior product $\wedge^\ell H^{(n)}$ is identified with the space of anti-symmetric polynomials P in $X_1, \dots, X_\ell$ of degree less than n in each variable with the coefficients in R_n . The identification is given by the map

$$X^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X^{i_\ell} \mapsto \text{Asym}(X_1^{i_1} \dots X_\ell^{i_\ell}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_\ell} \text{sgn } \sigma X_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} \dots X_{\sigma(\ell)}^{i_\ell}.$$

In the following we suppose that the variables X_a, x_j and α_a, β_j are related by $X_a = e^{-\alpha_a}$, $x_j = e^{\beta_j}$. To each element $P \in \wedge^\ell H^{(n)}$ and the index set $M = (m_1, \dots, m_\ell)$, $1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_\ell \leq n$, we associate the integral:

$$I_M(P) := \int_{C^\ell} \prod_{a=1}^\ell d\alpha_a \prod_{a=1}^\ell \phi_n(\alpha_a) g_M \frac{P(X_1, \dots, X_\ell | x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\prod_{a=1}^\ell \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - X_a x_j)}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\phi_n(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha - \beta_j + \pi i}{-2\pi i})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha - \beta_j}{-2\pi i})}, \quad g_M = \prod_{a=1}^\ell \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_a - \beta_{m_a}} \prod_{j=1}^{m_a-1} \frac{\alpha_a - \beta_j + \pi i}{\alpha_a - \beta_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq \ell} (\alpha_a - \alpha_b + \pi i).$$

The integration contour C is defined as follows. It goes from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, separating two sets $\{\beta_j, \beta_j - 2\pi i, \beta_j - 4\pi i, \dots | 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and $\{\beta_j - \pi i, \beta_j + \pi i, \beta_j + 3\pi i, \dots | 1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

The set of integrals $\{I_M(P)\}$ satisfies a certain system of equations. Let us describe it. To this end we define a tensor valued function whose component is $I_M(P)$. Let V be the vector space with the basis $v_\pm$:

$$V = \mathbb{C}v_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}v_-.$$

To each $M = (m_1, \dots, m_\ell)$, $1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_\ell \leq n$, we define the element v_M in $V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$v_M = v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\epsilon_n}, \quad M = \{j \mid \epsilon_j = -\}.$$

Using them define the $V^{\otimes n}$ -valued function ψ_P by

$$\psi_P = \sum_M I_M(P) v_M.$$

To write down the equations we need the operator acting on $V^{\otimes 2}$ defined by

$$\hat{S}(\beta) = \frac{\beta - \pi i P}{\beta - \pi i}, \quad P(v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2}) = v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes v_{\epsilon_1}.$$

In general for a linear operator A acting on $V^{\otimes 2}$, writing

$$A = \sum_a B_a \otimes C_a, \quad B_a, C_a \in \text{End}(V),$$

we define the linear operator A_{ij} acting on $V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$A_{ij} = \sum_a 1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_a \otimes \dots \otimes C_a \otimes \dots \otimes 1,$$

where B_a and C_a are in i -th and j -th components respectively.

Then ψ_P satisfies the following system of equations:

$$P_{i,i+1} \hat{S}_{i,i+1}(\beta_i - \beta_{i+1}) \psi_P(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \psi_P(\dots, \beta_{i+1}, \beta_i, \dots), \quad (8)$$

$$P_{n-1,n} P_{n-1,n-2} \dots P_{1,2} \psi_P(\beta_1 - 2\pi i, \dots, \beta_n) = \psi_P(\beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_1). \quad (9)$$

Here we remark on the solutions to the equations (2) and (3) in the introduction. By multiplying a scalar function we define Ψ_P by

$$\Psi_P = e^{\frac{n}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j} \prod_{j < k} \zeta(\beta_j - \beta_k) \psi_P, \quad (10)$$

where $\zeta(\beta)$ is a certain meromorphic function. See [12] and references therein for the precise description of $\zeta(\beta)$. We simply remark here that $\zeta(\beta)$ is holomorphic and non zero at $\beta = -\pi i$. The function Ψ_P solves the equations (2) and (3). Conversely every solution of (2) and (3) can be written using Ψ_P . Let us give more detailed and precise statements on this fact. Let $\Theta_{\pm}^{(n)}(X) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 \pm X x_j)$ and set [1, 17, 18]

$$2\Xi_1^{(n)}(X) = \Theta_+^{(n)}(X) + (-1)^{n-1} \Theta_-^{(n)}(X), \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Xi_2^{(n)}(X_1, X_2) &= \left(\Theta_+^{(n)}(X_1) \Theta_+^{(n)}(X_2) - \Theta_-^{(n)}(X_1) \Theta_-^{(n)}(X_2) \right) \frac{X_1 - X_2}{X_1 + X_2} \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \left(\Theta_+^{(n)}(X_1) \Theta_-^{(n)}(X_2) - \Theta_+^{(n)}(X_2) \Theta_-^{(n)}(X_1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Notice that the space of meromorphic solutions of (8) and (9) is a vector space over the field C_n of symmetric and $2\pi i$ -periodic meromorphic functions of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$. The ring R_n becomes a subring of C_n . Consider the scalar extension of $H^{(n)}$ from R_n to C_n :

$$\hat{H}^{(n)} = C_n \otimes_{R_n} H^{(n)} = \oplus_{j=0}^{n-1} C_n X^j.$$

Then

Theorem 2 ([18])

- (i). Any meromorphic solution of (2) and (3) which takes the value in the space of sl_2 highest weight vectors in $V^{\otimes n}$ with the weight $n - 2\ell$ can be written as Ψ_P for some $P \in \wedge^\ell \hat{H}^{(n)}$.
- (ii). For $P \in \wedge^\ell \hat{H}^{(n)}$, $\Psi_P = 0$ if and only if

$$P \in \wedge^{\ell-1} \hat{H}^{(n)} \wedge \Xi_1^{(n)} + \wedge^{\ell-2} \hat{H}^{(n)} \wedge \Xi_2^{(n)}.$$

The function Ψ_P has a nice homogeneity property. Let us define degrees, denoted by $\deg_1$, of elements in $\wedge^\ell H^{(n)}$ by assigning

$$\deg_1 X = -1, \quad \deg_1 x_j = 1.$$

Then

Proposition 1 If P is homogeneous by $\deg_1$,

$$\Psi_P(\beta_1 + \theta, \dots, \beta_n + \theta) = e^{\deg_2(P)\theta} \Psi_P(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n),$$

where

$$\deg_2(P) = \frac{n^2}{4} + \deg_1 P.$$

Proof. Notice that α_a, β_j appear in the integrand of $I_M(P)$ in the form $\alpha_a - \beta_j$ or $\alpha_a - \alpha_b$ except in P . The proposition immediately follows from this. Q.E.D.

In the following the degree of an element of $\wedge^\ell H^{(n)}$ is measured by $\deg_1$ unless otherwise stated.

As a function of β_n , ψ_P has at most a simple pole at $\beta_n = \beta_{n-1} + \pi i$ [12]. We shall study the space of P such that

$$\text{Res}_{\beta_n = \beta_{n-1} + \pi i} \psi_P = 0. \quad (13)$$

By the remark below (10) this is equivalent to (4). Let us find the condition for P such that (13) holds.

For a polynomial $P(X_1, \dots, X_\ell | x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ of x'_i s and X'_j s, define

$$\rho_\pm(P) = P(X_1, \dots, X_{\ell-1}, \pm x^{-1} | x_1, \dots, x_{2n-2}, x, -x), \quad x = x_{n-1},$$

and for a set $M = (m_1, \dots, m_\ell)$, $1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_\ell \leq n$, define

$$M^{(a)} = (m'_1, \dots, m'_{\ell-1}) = (m_1, \dots, m_{a-1}, m_{a+1}, \dots, m_\ell).$$

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_M &= g_M|_{\beta_n = \beta_{n-1} + \pi i}, \quad D_n(X) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - Xx_j) \\ \tilde{J}_M(P) &= \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} \phi_{n-2}(\alpha_b) \cdot \tilde{g}_M \cdot \frac{P}{\prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} D_{n-2}(X_b)(1 - X_b^2 x_{n-1}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these notations we define

$$\begin{aligned}
I_M^{(1)}(P) &= \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{m_a} - \pi i} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{\beta_{n-1} - \beta_j}{\beta_{n-1} - \beta_j - \pi i} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} d\alpha_b \tilde{J}_{M^{(a)}}(P) \\
&\times \frac{\prod_{b=1}^{a-1} (\alpha_b - \beta_{n-1} + 2\pi i)}{\prod_{b=a}^{\ell-1} (\beta_{n-1} - \alpha_b)}, \\
I_M^{(2)}(P) &= \int_{C^{\ell-1}} \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} d\alpha_b \tilde{J}_{M^{(e)}}(P), \\
I_M^{(3)}(P) &= \int_{C^{\ell-1}} \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} d\alpha_b \tilde{J}_{M^{(e)}}(P) \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{\alpha_b - \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_b - \beta_{n-1} + \pi i}, \\
I_M^{(4)}(P) &= \int_{C^{\ell-1}} \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} d\alpha_b \tilde{J}_{M^{(\ell-1)}}(P) \frac{\beta_{n-1} - \alpha_{\ell-1} + \pi i}{\alpha_{\ell-1} - \beta_{n-1} + \pi i},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n-1}^{(1)} &= \frac{-(-2\pi i)^{\ell}}{2} \frac{\phi_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1} - \pi i)}{D_{n-2}(-x_{n-1}^{-1})}, \\
f_{n-1}^{(2)} &= f_{n-1}^{(4)} = (-2\pi i)^{\ell-1} \frac{\phi_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1})}{D_{n-2}(x_{n-1}^{-1})}, \\
f_{n-1}^{(3)} &= (-2\pi i)^{\ell-1} \frac{\phi_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1} + \pi i)}{D_{n-2}(-x_{n-1}^{-1})} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{\beta_{n-1} - \beta_j + 2\pi i}{\beta_{n-1} - \beta_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

Proposition 2 For $P \in \wedge^{\ell} H^{(2n)}$, the residue of I_M is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Res}_{\beta_n = \beta_{n-1} + \pi i} I_M(P) &= f_{n-1}^{(1)} I_M^{(1)}(\rho_{-}(P)) \quad \text{if } n-1, n \notin M, \\
&= f_{n-1}^{(1)} I_M^{(1)}(\rho_{-}(P)) - f_{n-1}^{(2)} I_M^{(2)}(\rho_{+}(P)) \quad \text{if } n-1 \in M, n \notin M, \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 f_{n-1}^{(j)} I_M^{(j)}(\rho_{(-1)^j}(P)) \quad \text{if } n \in M, n-1 \notin M, \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^4 f_{n-1}^{(j)} I_M^{(j)}(\rho_{(-1)^j}(P)) \quad \text{if } n-1, n \in M,
\end{aligned}$$

where ± 1 are identified with $\pm$.

Corollary 1 If

$$\rho_{+}(P) = \rho_{-}(P) = 0, \quad (14)$$

then (13) is satisfied.

Remark. The residue of $I_M(P)$ can vanish for some M although $\rho_{+}(P)$ or $\rho_{-}(P)$ is not zero. The P 's corresponding to form factors of local operators give such examples [16, 12]. We conjecture that

if the residue of $I_M(P)$ vanishes for all M (14) holds. In other words we conjecture that (13) and (14) are equivalent. It is true for $\ell = 1$.

Let us write down the equations (14) in component forms. To this end we introduce a notation. For a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we define $\bar{f}$ by

$$\bar{f} = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, -x), \quad x = x_{n-1}.$$

Expand $P \in \wedge^\ell H^{(2n)}$ as

$$P = \sum P_{i_1 \dots i_\ell} X^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X^{i_\ell},$$

such that the coefficients $P_{i_1 \dots i_\ell}$'s are anti-symmetric with respect to indices:

$$P_{i_{\sigma_1} \dots i_{\sigma_\ell}} = \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot P_{i_1 \dots i_\ell}, \quad \sigma \in S_\ell.$$

Then (14) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i_\ell: \text{even}} \overline{P_{i_1 \dots i_\ell}} x^{-i_\ell} = \sum_{i_\ell: \text{odd}} \overline{P_{i_1 \dots i_\ell}} x^{-i_\ell} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Let $U_{n,\ell}$ be the space of solutions of (14):

$$U_{n,\ell} = \{ P \in \wedge^\ell H^{(n)} \mid \rho_+(P) = \rho_-(P) = 0 \}.$$

It is obviously an R_n -module. In the subsequent sections we shall study the structure of $U_{n,\ell}$.

3 Solutions for $\ell = 1$

In this section we study $U_{2n,1}$. The equations (15) for $P = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} P_i(x) X^i$ are

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \overline{P_{2i}(x)} x^{-2i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{P_{2i-1}(x)} x^{-(2i-1)} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Thus the sets of polynomials $\{P_{2i}\}$ and $\{P_{2i-1}\}$ satisfy the same equation independently. The solutions of the equation (16) can be found easily as we shall see below.

Let $e_k^{(n)}$ be the elementary symmetric polynomial defined by

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + x_j t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k^{(n)} t^k.$$

We set $e_k^{(n)} = 0$ for $k > n$, $k < 0$ or $n < 0$ and $e_0^{(0)} = 1$.

Example 3.1. The polynomial

$$P = e_1^{(2n)} X + e_3^{(2n)} X^3 + \dots + e_{2n-1}^{(2n)} X^{2n-1}$$

is a solution of (16). To verify this we use

$$\overline{e_k^{(n)}} = e_k^{(n-2)} - x^2 e_{k-2}^{(n-2)}, \quad (17)$$

which is valid for all integers k . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=1}^n \overline{e_{2s-1}^{(2n)}} x^{-(2s-1)} &= \sum_{s=1}^n (e_{2s-1}^{(2n-2)} - x^2 e_{2s-3}^{(2n-2)}) x^{-(2s-1)} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n e_{2s-1}^{(2n-2)} x^{-(2s-1)} - \sum_{s=1}^n e_{2s-1}^{(2n-2)} x^{-(2s-1)} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Beginning from the solution in Example 3.1 we can construct a set of solutions of (16). Let $P_{r,s}^{(2n)}$, $1 \leq r \leq n$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by the following recursion relations:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{1,s}^{(2n)} &= e_{2s-1}^{(2n)}, \\ P_{r,s}^{(2n)} &= P_{r-1,s+1}^{(2n)} - e_{2s}^{(2n)} P_{r-1,1}^{(2n)} \quad \text{for } r \geq 2.\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

We easily have

$$P_{r,s}^{(2n)} = 0, \quad s \leq 0.\tag{19}$$

Proposition 3 *We have*

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \overline{P_{r,s}^{(2n)}} x^{-2(s-1)} = 0.$$

Proof. Let us prove this equation by the induction on r . The case $r = 1$ is verified in Example 3.1.

Lemma 1 $P_{r,s}^{(2n)} = 0$, $s \geq n+1$.

This lemma is easily proved by the induction on r .

Lemma 2 $\overline{P_{r,s}^{(2n)}} = P_{r,s}^{(2n-2)} - x^2 P_{r,s-1}^{(2n-2)}$.

Proof. Let us prove the lemma by the induction on r . If $r = 1$, the assertion is (17) and there is nothing to prove. For $r \geq 2$, using (18) and (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P_{r,s}^{(2n)}} &= \overline{P_{r-1,s+1}^{(2n)}} - \overline{e_{2s}^{(2n)} P_{r-1,1}^{(2n)}} \\ &= P_{r-1,s+1}^{(2n-2)} - x^2 P_{r-1,s}^{(2n-2)} - (e_{2s}^{(2n-2)} - x^2 e_{2s-2}^{(2n-2)}) P_{r-1,1}^{(2n-2)} \\ &= P_{r-1,s+1}^{(2n-2)} - e_{2s}^{(2n-2)} P_{r-1,1}^{(2n-2)} - x^2 (P_{r-1,s}^{(2n-2)} - e_{2s-2}^{(2n-2)} P_{r-1,1}^{(2n-2)}) \\ &= P_{r,s}^{(2n-2)} - x^2 P_{r,s-1}^{(2n-2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Using Lemma 1, 2 and (19) we have, for $r \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \overline{P_{r,s}^{(2n)}} x^{-2(s-1)} = \sum_{s=1}^n (P_{r,s}^{(2n-2)} - x^2 P_{r,s-1}^{(2n-2)}) x^{-2(s-1)} = 0.$$

Thus Proposition 3 is proved. Q.E.D.

Define the elements $v_r^{(2n)}$, $w_r^{(2n)}$ of $H^{(2n)}$ by

$$v_r^{(2n)} = \sum_{s=1}^n P_{r,s}^{(2n)} X^{2(s-1)}, \quad w_r^{(2n)} = X v_r^{(2n)}.\tag{20}$$

Corollary 2 *The elements $v_r^{(2n)}, w_r^{(2n)}$ are solutions of (16).*

Theorem 3 *The space $U_{2n,1}$ is a free R_{2n} module of rank $2n$ with $\{v_r^{(2n)}, w_r^{(2n)}\}$ as a basis:*

$$U_{2n,1} = \oplus_{r=1}^n R_{2n} v_r^{(2n)} \oplus \oplus_{r=1}^n R_{2n} w_r^{(2n)}.$$

For the proof let us define the n by n matrix $X^{(2n)} = (X_{ij}^{(2n)})$ by

$$X_{ij}^{(2n)} = P_{i,j}^{(2n)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Proposition 4 *Let $\Delta_n^+ = \prod_{i < j}^n (x_i + x_j)$. Then*

$$\det X^{(2n)} = \Delta_{2n}^+.$$

We prepare several lemmas in order to prove Proposition 4.

Lemma 3 *As a polynomial of $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$, $\det X^{(2n)}$ is homogeneous of degree $\binom{2n}{2}$.*

Proof. It can be easily checked that $X_{ij}^{(2n)} = P_{i,j}^{(2n)}$ is homogeneous of degree $2i + 2j - 3$. Thus for any permutation $(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ of $(1, \dots, n)$ we have

$$\deg(X_{1j_1}^{(2n)} \cdots X_{nj_n}^{(2n)}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n j - 3n = n(2n - 1).$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4 *$\det X^{(2n)}$ is divisible by Δ_{2n}^+ .*

Proof. Since $\det X^{(2n)}$ is a symmetric polynomial, it is sufficient to prove

$$\overline{\det X^{(2n)}} = 0. \tag{21}$$

In $\det X^{(2n)}$ we successively add the j -th column multiplied by $x_{2n-1}^{-2(j-1)}$ to the first column. Then i -th row of the first column becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^n P_{i,j}^{(2n)} x^{-2(j-1)}.$$

Thus (21) follows from Proposition 3. Q.E.D.

Lemma 5 *The expression of $\det X^{(2n)}$ as a polynomial of $e_1, \dots, e_{2n}$ is*

$$\det X^{(2n)} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} e_1^n e_{2n}^{n-1} + \cdots,$$

where $e_k = e_k^{(2n)}$ and $\cdots$ part does not contain $e_1^n e_{2n}^{n-1}$.

Proof. It can be easily proved that $P_{r,s}^{(2n)}$ is at most of degree 1 in e_{2n} , is of degree 0 for $s < n - r + 2$ and

$$P_{r,n-r+2}^{(2n)} = -e_1 e_{2n} + (\text{terms of degree 0 in } e_{2n}).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{e_{2n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{e_{2n}^{n-1}} \det X^{(2n)} = \begin{vmatrix} e_1 & e_3 & \cdots & e_{2n-3} & e_{2n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -e_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -e_1 & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & -e_1 & \cdots & \cdots & * \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} e_1^n,$$

which proves the lemma. Q.E.D.

Lemma 6 *The expression of Δ_{2n}^+ as a polynomial of $e_1, \dots, e_{2n}$ is*

$$\Delta_{2n}^+ = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} e_1^n e_{2n}^{n-1} + \dots.$$

Proof. The statement follows easily from (see [9] for example)

$$\Delta_{2n}^+ = \det(e_{2n-2i+j}^{(2n)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1}.$$

Q.E.D.

Now Proposition 4 is obvious from Lemma 4, 5 and 6. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.

By Proposition 4, $v_i^{(2n)}, w_j^{(2n)}, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ are linearly independent over R_{2n} . Thus it is sufficient to prove that any solution

$$P = \sum_{s=1}^n P_s X^{2(s-1)}$$

of (16) is written as a linear combination of $v_i^{(2n)}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ with the coefficients in R_{2n} . Let us consider the following linear equation for $Q_i, i = 1, \dots, n$:

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i v_i^{(2n)},$$

which, in the matrix form, is

$$(P_1, \dots, P_n) = (Q_1, \dots, Q_n) X^{(2n)}.$$

By Cramer's formula, using Proposition 4, this equation is solved as

$$Q_i = \frac{1}{\Delta_{2n}^+} \det X_i^{(2n)},$$

where the matrix $X_i^{(2n)}$ is defined by replacing i -th row in $X^{(2n)}$ by $(P_1, \dots, P_n)$. In a similar way to Lemma 4 one can prove that $X_i^{(2n)}$ is divisible by Δ_{2n}^+ . Thus $Q_i \in R_{2n}$ and the theorem is proved. Q.E.D.

Notice that if $\psi_P = 0$ the residue of ψ_P is zero. Thus $\Xi_1^{(2n)}$ should be in $U_{2n,\ell}$. In fact we have the relation

$$\Xi_1^{(2n)} = w_1^{(2n)}, \tag{22}$$

which is obvious from their definitions.

4 Solutions for $\ell = 2$

In this section we solve the equations (14) in the case $\ell = 2$. In general, for solutions u_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$, of (14) with $\ell = \ell_j$, it is obvious that their exterior product

$$u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_m = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn } \sigma u_1(X_{\sigma_1}, \dots, X_{\sigma_{\ell_1}}) \cdots u_m(X_{\sigma_{\ell_1+\dots+\ell_{m-1}+1}}, \dots, X_{\sigma_{\ell}}),$$

is a solution of (14) with $\ell = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_m$. Thus, from the results of the previous section,

$$v_{i_1}^{(2n)} \wedge v_{i_2}^{(2n)}, \quad v_i^{(2n)} \wedge w_j^{(2n)}, \quad w_{j_1}^{(2n)} \wedge w_{j_2}^{(2n)},$$

are solutions of (14) with $\ell = 2$ for any i_1, i_2, i, j, j_1, j_2 . In fact there exist solutions which can not be expressed as an R_{2n} -linear combination of those solutions. Let us give a construction of them.

Set

$$v_0^{(2n)} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{2j}^{(2n)} X^{2j}.$$

Although $v_0^{(2n)}$ are not in $H^{(2n)}$ it satisfies

$$\rho_{\pm}(v_0^{(2n)}) = 0.$$

Using $v_0^{(2n)}$ we define, for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\xi_j^{(2n)}(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{X_1 - X_2}{X_1 + X_2} \left(v_0^{(2n)}(X_1) w_j^{(2n)}(X_2) + v_0^{(2n)}(X_2) w_j^{(2n)}(X_1) \right) \\ &\quad - v_0^{(2n)}(X_1) w_j^{(2n)}(X_2) + v_0^{(2n)}(X_2) w_j^{(2n)}(X_1). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Since

$$v_0^{(2n)}(-X) = v_0^{(2n)}(X), \quad w_j^{(2n)}(-X) = -w_j^{(2n)}(X),$$

ξ_j is an anti-symmetric polynomial of X_1 and X_2 with the coefficients in R_{2n} . The definition of ξ_j is motivated by the relation

$$\Xi_2^{(2n)} = \xi_1^{(2n)}, \quad (24)$$

which can be easily checked.

Proposition 5 $\xi_j^{(2n)} \in U_{2n,2}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. Applying $\rho_{\pm}$ to (23) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\pm}(2\xi_j^{(2n)}) &= \frac{X_1 \mp x^{-1}}{X_1 \pm x^{-1}} \left(\overline{v_0^{(2n)}(X_1) \rho_{\pm}(w_j^{(2n)}) + \rho_{\pm}(v_0^{(2n)}) \overline{w_j^{(2n)}(X_1)}} \right) \\ &\quad - \overline{v_0^{(2n)}(X_1) \rho_{\pm}(w_j^{(2n)}) + \rho_{\pm}(v_0^{(2n)}) \overline{w_j^{(2n)}(X_1)}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{v_0^{(2n)}(X_1)}$ means, for example, that we take bar of each coefficient of the power of X_1 .

It remains to prove $\deg_{X_i} \xi_j^{(2n)} \leq 2n - 1$, $i = 1, 2$. Obviously $\deg_{X_i} \xi_j^{(2n)} \leq 2n$, $i = 1, 2$. Let us calculate the coefficient of X_1^{2n} as a polynomial of X_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{X_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\xi_j^{(2n)}}{X_1^{2n}} \\ &= \lim_{X_1 \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{X_2}{X_1}}{1 + \frac{X_2}{X_1}} \left(\frac{v_0^{(2n)}(X_1)}{X_1^{2n}} w_j^{(2n)}(X_2) + v_0^{(2n)}(X_2) \frac{w_j^{(2n)}(X_1)}{X_1^{2n}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{v_0^{(2n)}(X_1)}{X_1^{2n}} w_j^{(2n)}(X_2) + v_0^{(2n)}(X_2) \frac{w_j^{(2n)}(X_1)}{X_1^{2n}} \right] \\ &= e_{2n}^{(2n)} w_j^{(2n)}(X_2) - e_{2n}^{(2n)} w_j^{(2n)}(X_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\deg_{X_1} \xi_j^{(2n)} \leq 2n - 1$. By the anti-symmetry of $\xi_j^{(2n)}$ we get $\deg_{X_2} \xi_j^{(2n)} \leq 2n - 1$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 4 *The module $U_{2n,2}$ is a free R_{2n} module of rank $\binom{2n}{2}$ with the following elements as a basis*

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{i_1}^{(2n)} \wedge v_{i_2}^{(2n)} \quad (1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n), \quad w_{j_1}^{(2n)} \wedge w_{j_2}^{(2n)} \quad (1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq n), \\ & v_i^{(2n)} \wedge w_j^{(2n)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1), \quad \xi_k^{(2n)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The linear independence of (25) is a special case of Theorem 6. Let us prove the theorem assuming the linear independence.

First of all one can easily check that the number of elements (25) is $\binom{2n}{2}$. We number the elements (25) from 1 to $\binom{2n}{2}$ and name the r -th element by Q_r . Let

$$Q_r = \sum_{i < j} Q_{r;ij} X^i \wedge X^j, \quad Q_{r;ij} \in R_{2n}.$$

We set $Q_{r;ij} = -Q_{r;ji}$ for $i \geq j$. We introduce the lexicographical order from the left on the set

$$J_2 = \{(ij) \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq 2n-1\}.$$

Define the $\binom{2n}{2}$ by $\binom{2n}{2}$ matrix $X^{(2n)} = (X_{r;ij}^{(2n)})$, $1 \leq r \leq \binom{2n}{2}$, $(ij) \in J_2$ by

$$X_{r;ij}^{(2n)} = Q_{r;ij}.$$

Lemma 7 *As a polynomial of $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$, $\det X^{(2n)}$ is homogeneous of degree $n(2n-1)(4n-3)$.*

This is a special case of Proposition 7.

Lemma 8 *$\det X^{(2n)}$ is divisible by $(\Delta_{2n}^+)^{4n-3}$.*

This lemma is also a special case of Proposition 8 below. Since the proof of the lemma generalizes the case $\ell = 1$ and illustrates the proof in the general case, we shall give a proof of it here.

Proof. In $\det X^{(2n)}$, to each $(0, j)$ -th column with $j = 1, \dots, 2n-1$, we successively add the $(2i, j)$ -th column times x_{2n-1}^{-2i} for $2 \leq 2i < j$ and the $(j, 2i)$ -th column times $-x_{2n-1}^{-2i}$ for $j < 2i$. Then, to each $(1, j)$ -th column with $j = 2, \dots, 2n-1$, we add the $(2i-1, j)$ -th column times $x_{2n-1}^{-(2i-1)}$ for

$3 \leq 2i - 1 < j$ and the $(j, 2i - 1)$ -th column times $-x_{2n-1}^{-(2i-1)}$ for $j < 2i - 1$ successively. Then, in the resulting determinant, the $(r; 0, j)$ -th component $Q'_{r;0,j}$ and the $(r; 1, j')$ -th component $Q'_{r;1,j'}$ becomes

$$Q'_{r;0,j} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Q_{r;2i,j} x_{2n-1}^{-2i}, \quad Q'_{r;1,j'} = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{r;2i-1,j'} x_{2n-1}^{-(2i-1)},$$

respectively. Since Q_r is a solution of (15),

$$\overline{Q'_{r;0,j}} = \overline{Q'_{r;1,j'}} = 0.$$

This means that $Q'_{r;0,j}$ and $Q'_{r;1,j'}$ are divisible by $x_{2n-1} + x_{2n}$. Thus $\det X^{(2n)}$ is divisible by $(x_{2n-1} + x_{2n})^{4n-3}$. Because $\det X^{(2n)}$ is a symmetric polynomial of $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$, it is divisible by $(\Delta_{2n}^+)^{4n-3}$. Q.E.D.

The following lemma is obvious from the linear independence of (25) and Lemma 7, 8.

Lemma 9 *There is a non-zero constant c such that*

$$\det X^{(2n)} = c(\Delta_{2n}^+)^{4n-3}.$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is similar to that of Theorem 3 using Lemma 3 and 4.

5 q -Tetranomial identity

From now on we use the multi-index notations like

$$v_I = v_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{i_l}, \quad \text{for } I = (i_1, \dots, i_l).$$

Consider the following elements of $U_{2n,\ell}$:

$$v_I^{(2n)} \wedge w_J^{(2n)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n)}, \tag{26}$$

$$I = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell_1}), \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{\ell_1} \leq n, \tag{27}$$

$$J = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell_2}), \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{\ell_2} \leq n - \ell_1 - \ell_3, \tag{28}$$

$$K = (k_1, \dots, k_{\ell_3}), \quad 1 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_{\ell_3} \leq n - \ell_1 - \ell_3 + 1, \tag{29}$$

$$\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell. \tag{30}$$

If $\ell = 1, 2$, these are the basis of $U_{2n,1}$ and $U_{2n,2}$ given in Theorem 3 and 4 respectively.

Let us count the number $N_{2n,\ell}$ of such elements. Obviously it is given by

$$N_{2n,\ell} = \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell} \binom{n}{\ell_1} \binom{n - \ell_1}{\ell_3} \binom{n - \ell_1 - \ell_3}{\ell_2}.$$

In the right hand side

$$\binom{n}{\ell_1} \binom{n - \ell_1}{\ell_3} \binom{n - \ell_1 - \ell_3}{\ell_2} = \frac{n!}{\ell_1! \ell_2! \ell_3! (n - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3)!},$$

which is the tetranomial coefficient denoted by

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} n \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{array} \right). \tag{31}$$

Therefore we have

$$(1 + x + x + x^2)^n = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n} N_{2n,\ell} x^\ell.$$

The left hand side is

$$(1 + x)^{2n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{\ell} x^\ell.$$

Thus we have

$$N_{2n,\ell} = \binom{2n}{\ell} = \text{rank } \wedge^\ell H^{(2n)}, \quad (32)$$

and the identity

$$\binom{2n}{\ell} = \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell} \binom{n}{\ell_1} \binom{n - \ell_1}{\ell_2} \binom{n - \ell_1 - \ell_2}{\ell_3}, \quad (33)$$

here we used the symmetry of (31) with respect to the permutations of ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 .

By the definition of $\deg_1$ we easily have

$$\deg_1 v_i^{(2n)} = 2i - 1, \quad \deg_1 w_j^{(2n)} = 2j - 2, \quad \deg_1 \xi_k^{(2n)} = 2k - 2.$$

Thus

$$\deg_1 (v_I^{(2n)} \wedge w_J^{(2n)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n)}) = \sum_{r=1}^{\ell_1} (2i_r - 1) + \sum_{r=1}^{\ell_2} (2j_r - 2) + \sum_{r=1}^{\ell_3} (2k_r - 2), \quad (34)$$

if the element is non-zero. We denote the right hand side of (34) by $d_{I,J,K}$. Let us consider the generating function of $d_{I,J,K}$:

$$\text{ch}_{2n,\ell} := \sum_{I,J,K} q^{d_{I,J,K}}, \quad (35)$$

where I, J, K run the index sets satisfying (27) - (30). It is easy to evaluate it explicitly. To write it we use the q -integer notations:

$$[n]_p = 1 - p^n, \quad [n]_p! = [1]_p [2]_p \cdots [n]_p, \quad \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ r \end{matrix} \right]_p = \frac{[n]_p!}{[r]_p! [n-r]_p!}.$$

Then we easily obtain

$$\text{ch}_{2n,\ell} = \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell} q^{\ell_1^2 + \ell_2(\ell_2 - 1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 \\ \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 - \ell_3 \\ \ell_2 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \quad (36)$$

In the right hand side

$$\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 \\ \ell_2 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 - \ell_2 \\ \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} = \frac{[n]_{q^2}!}{[\ell_1]_{q^2}! [\ell_2]_{q^2}! [\ell_3]_{q^2}! [n - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3]_{q^2}!}$$

is the q -tetranomial coefficient written as

$$\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \quad (37)$$

If some $\ell_i \leq -1$, we set (37) = 0. Notice that (37) is symmetric with respect to the permutations of ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 . Now we prove the q -analogue of (33).

Proposition 6

$$\left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q = \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell} q^{\ell_1^2 + \ell_2(\ell_2 - 1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 \\ \ell_2 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 - \ell_2 \\ \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \quad (38)$$

Proof. Let us denote the left and the right hand sides of (38) by $a_{n,\ell}$ and $b_{n,\ell}$ respectively. By direct calculations one can easily prove (38) for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. It is obvious that $a_{1,\ell} = b_{1,\ell} = 0$ for $\ell \geq 3$. Thus (38) holds for $n = 1$. Let us prove the identity by the induction on n . To this end we shall show that $a_{n,\ell}$ and $b_{n,\ell}$ satisfy the same recursion relation.

The following recursion relations hold for q -binomial coefficients:

$$\left[\begin{matrix} m \\ r \end{matrix} \right]_p = \left[\begin{matrix} m-1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right]_p + p^{m-r} \left[\begin{matrix} m-1 \\ r-1 \end{matrix} \right]_p \quad (39)$$

$$= p^r \left[\begin{matrix} m-1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right]_p + \left[\begin{matrix} m-1 \\ r-1 \end{matrix} \right]_p. \quad (40)$$

Using (39) and (40) we have

$$a_{n,\ell} = a_{n-1,\ell} + (q^{2n-\ell-1} + q^{2n-1})a_{n-1,\ell-1} + q^{2n-\ell}a_{n-1,\ell-2}. \quad (41)$$

Let us prove the same recursion relation for $b_{n,\ell}$. Using (39) for the first q -binomial coefficient in the product in $b_{n,\ell}$ we have

$$b_{n,\ell} = \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell} q^{\ell_1^2 + \ell_2(\ell_2 - 1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 \\ \ell_2 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 - \ell_2 \\ \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \quad (42)$$

$$+ q^{2n-1} \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell} q^{(\ell_1 - 1)^2 + \ell_2(\ell_2 - 1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1 - 1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 \\ \ell_2 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 - \ell_2 \\ \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \quad (43)$$

It is obvious that

$$(43) = q^{2n-1}b_{n-1,\ell-1}.$$

Applying (39) to the second q -binomial coefficient in (42) we have

$$(42) = \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell} q^{\ell_1^2 + \ell_2(\ell_2 - 1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 - 1 \\ \ell_2 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n - \ell_1 - \ell_2 \\ \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \quad (44)$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\ell_3 = \ell - 1} q^{\ell_1^2 + \ell_2(\ell_2 + 1) + 2(n-1-\ell_1-\ell_2)} \left[\begin{matrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \quad (45)$$

We again use (39) to the third product of q -binomial coefficients in (44) we get

$$(44) = b_{n-1,\ell} + \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell-2} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2-1)+2n-\ell-(\ell_1+\ell_2)} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}. \quad (46)$$

Therefore we have

$$b_{n,\ell} = b_{n-1,\ell} + q^{2n-1}b_{n-1,\ell-1} + (45) + (46). \quad (47)$$

Using the symmetry of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 of the q -tetranomial coefficient we see that

$$(45) = \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell-1} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2-1)+2\ell_3+2n-1-\ell} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}. \quad (48)$$

There is an obvious identity of the form

$$[\ell_3]_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} = [\ell_1+1]_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell_1+1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}, \quad (49)$$

which is also valid for $\ell_3 = 0$. We rewrite (49) as

$$q^{2\ell_3} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} - \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell_1+1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + q^{2(\ell_1+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \ell_1+1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}. \quad (50)$$

We substitute (50) into (48) and get

$$(45) = q^{2n-1-\ell}b_{n-1,\ell-1} - \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell-1} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2-1)+2n-1-\ell} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1+1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \quad (51)$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell-1} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2-1)+2n-1-\ell+2(\ell_1+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ \ell_1+1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}. \quad (52)$$

If $\ell_1 = -1$, the sum of the summand of (51) and (52) becomes zero. Therefore the sum in (51) and (52) can be taken for $\ell_1 \geq -1$, $\ell_2 \geq 0$, $\ell_3 \geq 1$. Then shifting the summation variables ℓ_1 and ℓ_3 we have

$$(51) + (52) = -(46) + q^{2n-\ell}b_{n-1,\ell-2}.$$

We substitute this into (47) and get the recursion relation (41) for $b_{n,\ell}$. Thus by the induction on n Proposition 6 is proved. Q.E.D.

6 Solutions for general ℓ

We shall show that the solutions of (14) for $\ell \geq 3$ are generated by the solutions for $\ell = 1, 2$. More precisely we prove

Theorem 5 *The module $U_{2n,\ell}$ is a free R_{2n} -module of rank $\binom{2n}{\ell}$ with the set of elements $\{v_I^{(2n)} \wedge w_J^{(2n)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n)}\}$ as a basis:*

$$U_{2n,\ell} = \oplus_{I,J,K} R_{2n} v_I^{(2n)} \wedge w_J^{(2n)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n)},$$

where I, J, K runs over all index sets satisfying (27)-(30).

The strategy of the proof is similar to the case $\ell = 1, 2$. The most complex part of the proof is in the following theorem.

Theorem 6 *The elements $\{v_I^{(2n)} \wedge w_J^{(2n)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n)}\}$, where I, J, K runs over all index sets satisfying (27)-(30), are linearly independent over R_{2n} .*

The proof of this theorem is given in section 8. In this section we prove Theorem 5 assuming Theorem 6.

We number the elements $\{v_I^{(2n)} \wedge w_J^{(2n)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n)}\}$ from 1 to $\binom{2n}{\ell}$ and denote the r -th element by Q_r . Expand Q_r as

$$Q_r = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq 2n-1} Q_{r;i_1 \dots i_\ell} X^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X^{i_\ell}. \quad (53)$$

We extend the index $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$ of $Q_{r;i_1 \dots i_\ell}$ to $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_\ell \leq 2n-1$ such that $Q_{r;i_1 \dots i_\ell}$ is anti-symmetric with respect to the permutation of $i_1, \dots, i_\ell$. We introduce the lexicographical order from the left on the set

$$J_{2n,\ell} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \mid 0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq 2n-1\}.$$

Let us define the $\binom{2n}{\ell}$ by $\binom{2n}{\ell}$ matrix $X^{(2n,\ell)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(2n,\ell)} &= (X_{r;i_1 \dots i_\ell}^{(2n,\ell)}), \quad 1 \leq r \leq \binom{2n}{\ell}, (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in J_{2n,\ell}, \\ X_{r;i_1 \dots i_\ell}^{(2n,\ell)} &= Q_{r;i_1 \dots i_\ell}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Proposition 7 *As an element of R_{2n} , $\det X^{(2n,\ell)}$ is homogeneous of degree $\binom{2n}{2}(\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1})$.*

Proof. By the definition of $\deg_1$, if $Q_r = v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K$,

$$\deg_1 Q_{r;s_1 \dots s_\ell} = d_{I,J,K} + s_1 + \dots + s_\ell.$$

Thus, as in the proof of Lemma 3, $\det X^{(2n,\ell)}$ is homogeneous of degree

$$d^{(2n,\ell)} := \sum_{I,J,K} d_{I,J,K} + \sum_{0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_\ell \leq 2n-1} (s_1 + \dots + s_\ell).$$

The proposition follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 10

$$d^{(2n,\ell)} = \binom{2n}{2} \left(\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1} \right). \quad (55)$$

Proof. Let us set

$$a_{n,\ell} := \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

By Proposition 6, (35), (36)

$$a_{n,\ell} = \text{ch}_{2n,\ell}.$$

It follows that

$$d^{(2n,\ell)} = \frac{da_{n,\ell}}{dq} \Big|_{q=1} + \sum_{0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_\ell \leq 2n-1} (s_1 + \dots + s_\ell). \quad (56)$$

We denote the first term of the right hand side of (56) by $A_{n,\ell}$ and the second term by $b_{n,\ell}$. The sum $b_{n,\ell}$ is expressed in terms of $A_{n,\ell}$ as follows. Notice that

$$\sum_{0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_\ell \leq 2n-1} q^{s_1 + \dots + s_\ell} = q^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell-1)} a_{n,\ell}.$$

Then

$$b_{n,\ell} = \frac{d}{dq} (q^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell-1)} a_{n,\ell}) \Big|_{q=1} = \binom{\ell}{2} \binom{2n}{\ell} + A_{n,\ell},$$

and

$$d^{(2n,\ell)} = 2A_{n,\ell} + \binom{\ell}{2} \binom{2n}{\ell}.$$

Let us define $c_{n,\ell}$ by

$$c_{n,\ell} = \binom{2n}{2} \left(\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1} \right) - \binom{\ell}{2} \binom{2n}{\ell}, \quad (57)$$

Then the lemma is equivalent to

$$c_{n,\ell} = 2A_{n,\ell}. \quad (58)$$

Let us prove (58) by the induction on n . For $n = 1$, (58) is easily verified. Let us prove that $c_{n,\ell}$ and $2A_{n,\ell}$ satisfy the same recursion relation.

Notice that $a_{n,\ell}$ here is the same as $a_{n,\ell}$ in the proof of Proposition 6. By differentiating the recursion relation (41) of $a_{n,\ell}$ one obtains the recursion relation for $2A_{n,\ell}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 2A_{n,\ell} &= 2A_{n-1,\ell} + 2(2A_{n-1,\ell-1}) + 2A_{n-1,\ell-2} \\ &\quad + 2(4n - \ell - 2) \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1} + 2(2n - \ell) \binom{2n-2}{\ell-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

We prove the same recursion relation for $c_{n,\ell}$. Set

$$c_{n,\ell}^{(1)} = \binom{2n}{2} \left(\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1} \right), \quad c_{n,\ell}^{(2)} = \binom{\ell}{2} \binom{2n}{\ell}.$$

Using

$$\binom{n}{m} = \binom{n-2}{m} + 2\binom{n-2}{m-1} + \binom{n-2}{m-2},$$

in each binomial coefficient in $c_{n,\ell}^{(1)}$ and $c_{n,\ell}^{(2)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,\ell}^{(1)} &= c_{n-1,\ell}^{(1)} + 2c_{n-1,\ell-1}^{(1)} + c_{n-1,\ell-2}^{(1)} + (4n-3) \left(\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-2} \right), \\ c_{n,\ell}^{(2)} &= c_{n-1,\ell}^{(2)} + 2c_{n-1,\ell-1}^{(2)} + c_{n-1,\ell-2}^{(2)} + 2(\ell-1) \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1} + (2\ell-3) \binom{2n-2}{\ell-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the difference of these equations and using the induction hypothesis we get the desired recursion relation. Q.E.D.

Proposition 8 *The determinant $\det X^{(2n,\ell)}$ is divisible by $(\Delta_{2n}^+)^{\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}}$.*

Proof. In $\det X^{(2n,\ell)}$, for each $1 \leq j_2 < \dots < j_\ell \leq 2n-1$, we add the $(2j, j_2, \dots, j_\ell)$ -th column times x_{2n-1}^{-2j} or $-x_{2n-1}^{-2j}$ to the $(0, j_2, \dots, j_\ell)$ -th column successively so that, in the resulting determinant, the $(r; 0, j_2, \dots, j_\ell)$ -th component becomes

$$Q'_{r;0,j_2 \dots j_\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_{r;2j,j_2 \dots j_\ell} x_{2n-1}^{-2j}.$$

Further, for each $2 \leq j'_2 < \dots < j'_\ell \leq 2n-1$, we add the $(2j-1, j'_2, \dots, j'_\ell)$ -th column times $x_{2n-1}^{-(2j-1)}$ or $-x_{2n-1}^{-(2j-1)}$ to the $(1, j'_2, \dots, j'_\ell)$ -th column successively so that the $(r; 1, j'_2, \dots, j'_\ell)$ -th component becomes

$$Q'_{r;1,j'_2 \dots j'_\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{r;2j-1,j'_2 \dots j'_\ell} x_{2n-1}^{-(2j-1)}.$$

Since $\{Q_{r;i_1 \dots i_\ell}\}$ satisfy (15), we have

$$\overline{Q'_{r;0,j_2 \dots j_\ell}} = \overline{Q'_{r;1,j'_2 \dots j'_\ell}} = 0.$$

It follows that $Q'_{r;0,j_2 \dots j_\ell}$ and $Q'_{r;1,j'_2 \dots j'_\ell}$ are divisible by $x_{2n-1} + x_{2n}$. Thus $\det X^{(2n,\ell)}$ is divisible by

$$(x_{2n-1} + x_{2n})^{\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}}.$$

Since $\det X^{(2n,\ell)}$ is a symmetric polynomial of $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$, it is divisible by

$$(\Delta_{2n}^+)^{\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}}.$$

Q.E.D.

Corollary 3 *There is a constant c such that*

$$\det X^{(2n,\ell)} = c (\Delta_{2n}^+)^{\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.

It is sufficient to prove that any $P \in U_{2n,\ell}$ can be written as a linear combination of Q_r 's with the coefficients in R_{2n} . Let us write

$$P = \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_\ell \leq 2n-1} P_{j_1 \dots j_\ell} X^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X^{j_\ell}.$$

We solve the following linear equations for S_r , $r = 1, \dots, \binom{2n}{\ell}$:

$$P = \sum_r S_r Q_r,$$

which, in the matrix form,

$$(P_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell}) = (S_r) X^{(2n, \ell)},$$

where $(P_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell})$ and (S_r) are both row vectors. Since $\{Q_r\}$ are linearly independent over R_{2n} by Theorem 6, $c \neq 0$ in Corollary 3. Then by Cramer's formula

$$S_r = c^{-1} (\Delta_{2n}^+)^{-\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} - \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}} \det X_r^{(2n, \ell)},$$

where the matrix $\det X_r^{(2n, \ell)}$ is defined by replacing the r -th row in $X^{(2n, \ell)}$ by $(P_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell})$. In a similar way to the proof of Proposition 8, $\det X_r^{(2n, \ell)}$ is proved to be divisible by

$$(\Delta_{2n}^+)^{\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}}.$$

Thus $S_r \in R_{2n}$ as desired. Q.E.D.

7 Equivalent Conditions for linear independence

Let $\Gamma^{(2n)}$ be the $2n$ -dimensional vector space with the basis α_i, β_j , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\Gamma^{(2n)} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} \alpha_i \oplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{C} \beta_j.$$

Define $\omega_k \in \wedge^2 \Gamma^{(2n)}$ by

$$\omega_k = \sum_{r=1}^n \alpha_{r-k+1} \wedge \beta_r, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n, \quad (60)$$

Here and in the following the indices of α_i , β_j , ω_k are extended to integers and they are read by modulo n in the representative $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

In this section we set

$$e_k = e_k^{(2n)}, \quad P_{r,s} = P_{r,s}^{(2n)}, \quad v_i = v_i^{(2n)}, \quad w_j = w_j^{(2n)}, \quad \xi_k = \xi_k^{(2n)},$$

since n always remains same.

Theorem 7 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\{\alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$,

- (ii) $\{\alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\}$ linearly generate $\wedge^\ell \Gamma^{(2n)}$,
 - (iii) $\{\alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\}$ is a basis of $\wedge^\ell \Gamma^{(2n)}$,
 - (iv) $\{v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K\}$ is linearly independent over R_{2n} ,
- where I, J, K satisfy (27)-(30).

Proof. By (32), it is obvious that (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Let us prove the equivalence of (i) and (iv). To this end we have to prepare several lemmas and propositions.

Proposition 9 *The following expressions for ξ_k hold:*

$$\xi_k = \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=n-k+1}^{n-k+i} + \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{r=i-k}^{n-k} \right) e_{2(k-i+r)} X^{2r+1} \wedge v_i, \quad (61)$$

$$\xi_k = \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=n-k+1}^{n-k+i} + \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{r=i-k}^{n-k} \right) e_{2(k-i+r)} w_i \wedge X^{2r}. \quad (62)$$

The proof of this proposition is given in the end of this section.
We consider the specialization

$$e_1 = -e_{2n} = 1, \quad e_j = 0, \quad j \neq 1, 2n. \quad (63)$$

Lemma 11 *At (63) we have*

$$P_{r,s} = \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq s \leq n \text{ and } s = n - r + 2 \text{ mod. } n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us prove the statement by the induction on r . For $r = 1$,

$$P_{1,s} = e_{2s-1},$$

and the statement is obvious. For $r \geq 2$, Substituting (63) into (18) and using the hypothesis of the induction we have

$$P_{r,n-r+2} = P_{r-1,n-(r-1)+2} - e_{2(n-r+2)} P_{r-1,1} = \begin{cases} P_{1,n+1} - e_{2n} P_{1,1} = 1, & r = 2 \\ 1, & r \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

For $s \neq n - r + 2$,

$$P_{r,s} = P_{r-1,s+1} - e_{2s} P_{r-1,1} = 0,$$

by the induction hypothesis. Q.E.D.

For any integer i we set

$$\langle i \rangle = X^j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2n-1, \quad i \equiv j \text{ mod. } 2n.$$

Corollary 4 *At (63) we have*

$$v_i = \langle 2(n-i+1) \rangle, \quad w_i = \langle 2(n-i+1) + 1 \rangle, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The following lemma follows from Proposition 9 and Corollary 4.

Lemma 12 *At (63),*

$$\xi_k = \sum_{r=1}^n \langle 2(n+r-k) + 1 \rangle \wedge v_r = \sum_{r=1}^n w_{k-r+1} \wedge v_r.$$

At (63) we set

$$\alpha_i = v_{2-i}, \quad \beta_j = w_j.$$

Then we have

$$\omega_k = \sum_{r=1}^n \alpha_{r-k+1} \wedge \beta_r = -\xi_k.$$

Thus at (63)

$$\{v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K\} = \{\pm \alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\},$$

where $\pm$ means that $+$ or $-$ is determined to each (I, J, K) . Consequently, if $\{\alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\}$ are linearly independent, for the matrix $X^{(2n, \ell)}$ defined in (54),

$$\det X^{(2n, \ell)} \neq 0,$$

at (63) and $\{v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K\}$ are linearly independent over R_{2n} . Therefore (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 7 is proved.

Let us prove the converse. We have to use the following lemma which can be easily verified.

Lemma 13 *At (63), we have*

$$\Delta_{2n}^+ = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)((\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1}) + (\binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}))}.$$

Now, if $\{v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K\}$ are linearly independent over R_{2n} , $c \neq 0$ in Corollary 3. Then, at (63), we get

$$\det X^{(2n, \ell)} = c(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)((\binom{2n-1}{\ell-1}) + (\binom{2n-2}{\ell-1}))} \neq 0.$$

Thus $\{\alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\}$ are linearly independent. Q.E.D.

Proof of Proposition 9.

Let us first prove (61). In this proof we extend the index r of $P_{r,s}$ to $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ by defining

$$P_{r,s} = e_{2(s+r)-3}, \quad r \leq 0.$$

For $r \geq n+1$, $P_{r,s}$ is defined by the recursion relation (18). Then the recursion relation (18) holds for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following lemma is obtained by a simple calculation using the definitions (20) and (23).

Lemma 14

$$(X_1 + X_2)\xi_k(X_1, X_2) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n (e_{2j}P_{k,i} - e_{2i}P_{k,j})X_1^{2i}X_2^{2j}.$$

Let us denote the right hand side of (61) by η_k and expand it as

$$\eta_k = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} a_{ij}^{(k)} (X_1^{2i+1} X_2^{2j} - X_2^{2i+1} X_1^{2j}).$$

We set $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$ unless $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Then

$$(X_1 + X_2)\eta_k = \sum_{i,j} (a_{i-1,j}^{(k)} - a_{j-1,i}^{(k)}) X_1^{2i} X_2^{2j} + \sum_{i,j} (a_{ij}^{(k)} - a_{ji}^{(k)}) X_1^{2i+1} X_2^{2j+1}.$$

Comparing this with Lemma 14 we see that (61) is equivalent to the following equations:

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{ji}^{(k)}, \quad (64)$$

$$a_{i-1,j}^{(k)} - a_{j-1,i}^{(k)} = e_{2j} P_{k,i} - e_{2i} P_{k,j}. \quad (65)$$

In order to prove these equations we calculate $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ more explicitly.

Lemma 15 *We have*

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=0}^i e_{2(i-r)} P_{k+r,j+1}, & 0 \leq i \leq n-k \\ -\sum_{r=1}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r,j+1}, & n-k+1 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $0 \leq i \leq n-k$, $X^{2i+1} \wedge X^{2j}$ appears only from the second sum of the right hand side of (61). Its coefficient is calculated as

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{r=k}^{k+i} e_{2(k-r+i)} P_{r,j+1} = \sum_{r=0}^i e_{2(i-r)} P_{k+r,j+1}.$$

Similarly, if $n-k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $X^{2i+1} \wedge X^{2j}$ appears only from the first sum term and its coefficient is given by

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = - \sum_{r=k-n+i}^{k-1} e_{2(k-r+i)} P_{r,j+1} = - \sum_{r=1}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r,j+1}.$$

Q.E.D.

Let us rewrite $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ more.

Lemma 16

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{r+s=i+j+2, r \leq i} - \sum_{r+s=i+j+2, r \geq j+1} \right) e_{2r} P_{k-1,s}, & 0 \leq i \leq n-k \\ \left(\sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \leq j} - \sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \geq i+1} \right) e_{2r} P_{k,s}, & n-k+1 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First we suppose that $0 \leq i \leq n-k$. Using Lemma 15 we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}^{(k)} &= \sum_{r=0}^i e_{2(i-r)} P_{k+r,j+1} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^i e_{2(i-r)} (P_{k+r-1,j+2} - e_{2(j+1)} P_{k+r-1,1}) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^i e_{2(i-r)} P_{k+r-1,j+2} + e_{2i} P_{k-1,j+2} - e_{2(j+1)} \sum_{r=0}^i e_{2(i-r)} P_{k+r-1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 15 we have, for the first term,

$$\sum_{r=1}^i e_{2(i-r)} P_{k+r-1,j+2} = a_{i-1,j+1}^{(k)}.$$

As to the third term, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^i e_{2(i-r)} P_{k+r-1,1} &= P_{k+i-1,1} + e_2 P_{k+i-2,1} + \cdots + e_{2i} P_{k-1,1} \\ &= (P_{k+i-2,2} - e_2 P_{k+i-2,1}) + e_2 P_{k+i-2,1} + \cdots + e_{2i} P_{k-1,1} \\ &= P_{k+i-2,2} + e_4 P_{k+i-3,1} + \cdots + e_{2i} P_{k-1,1} \\ &\vdots \\ &= P_{k-1,i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}^{(k)} &= a_{i-1,j+1}^{(k)} + e_{2i} P_{k-1,j+2} - e_{2(j+1)} P_{k-1,i+1} \\ &= a_{0,i+j}^{(k)} + \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} (e_{2(i-r)} P_{k-1,j+2+r} - e_{2(j+1+r)} P_{k-1,i+1-r}). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Notice that,

$$a_{0,i+j}^{(k)} = P_{k,i+j+1} = P_{k-1,i+j+2} - e_{2(i+j+1)} P_{k-1,1},$$

which is precisely the $r = i$ term in the second term of (66). Therefore

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{r=0}^i (e_{2(i-r)} P_{k-1,j+2+r} - e_{2(j+1+r)} P_{k-1,i+1-r}),$$

which proves the first equation of the lemma.

Next we consider the case $n - k + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Here we use the recursion relation of $P_{r,s}$ in the form

$$P_{r,s} = P_{r+1,s-1} + e_{2(s-1)} P_{r,1}. \quad (67)$$

Using (67) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}^{(k)} &= - \sum_{r=1}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r,j+1} \\ &= - \sum_{r=1}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} (P_{k-r+1,j} + e_{2j} P_{k-r,1}) \\ &= - \sum_{r=2}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r+1,j} - e_{2(i+1)} P_{k,j} - e_{2j} \sum_{r=1}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r,1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have

$$- \sum_{r=2}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r+1,j} = a_{i+1,j-1}^{(k)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=1}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r,1} &= P_{k,i+1} + \sum_{r=1}^{n-i} e_{2(i+r)} P_{k-r,1} - P_{k,i+1} \\
&= P_{k-n+i,n+1} - P_{k,i+1} \\
&= -P_{k,i+1},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 1. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ij}^{(k)} &= a_{i+1,j-1}^{(k)} + e_{2j} P_{k,i+1} - e_{2(i+1)} P_{k,j} \\
&= a_{n-1,i+j+1-n}^{(k)} + \sum_{r=0}^{n-i-2} (e_{2(j-r)} P_{k,i+1+r} - e_{2(i+1+r)} P_{k,j-r}).
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Here

$$a_{n-1,i+j+1-n}^{(k)} = -e_{2n} P_{k-1,i+j+2-n},$$

which is the $r = n - i - 1$ term in the second term of (68). Thus we have

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-i-1} (e_{2(j-r)} P_{k,i+1+r} - e_{2(i+1+r)} P_{k,j-r}),$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 16. Q.E.D.

Proof of (64).

We can assume $i < j$. The proof is divided into three cases.

(i). the case $0 \leq i < j \leq n - k$: We use the first equation in Lemma 16 and notations like

$$(r \leq i)_1 = \sum_{r+s=i+j+2, r \leq i} e_{2r} P_{k-1,s}. \tag{69}$$

Then we have

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = (r \leq i)_1 - (r \geq j+1)_1,$$

and

$$a_{ji}^{(k)} = (r \leq j)_1 - (r \geq i+1)_1 = (r \leq i)_1 - (r \geq j+1)_1 = a_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

(ii). the case $n - k + 1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$: In this case we use notations like

$$(r \leq j)_2 = \sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \leq j} e_{2r} P_{k,s}. \tag{70}$$

Then

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = (r \leq j)_2 - (r \geq i+1)_2 = (r \leq i)_2 - (r \geq j+1)_2 = a_{ji}^{(k)}.$$

(iii). the case $0 \leq i \leq n - k < j \leq n - 1$: In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ji}^{(k)} &= \left(\sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \leq i} - \sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \geq j+1} \right) e_{2r} P_{k,s} \\
&= \left(\sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \leq i} - \sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \geq j+1} \right) e_{2r} (P_{k-1,s+1} - e_{2s} P_{k-1,1}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{r+s=i+j+2, r \leq i, s \geq 1} - \sum_{r+s=i+j+2, r \geq j+1, s \geq 1} \right) e_{2r} (P_{k-1,s} - e_{2(s-1)} P_{k-1,1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, if $s = 0$,

$$P_{k-1,s} - e_{2(s-1)} P_{k-1,1} = 0.$$

Then

$$a_{ji}^{(k)} = a_{ij}^{(k)} - \left(\sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \leq i} - \sum_{r+s=i+j+1, r \geq j+1} \right) e_{2r} e_{2s} P_{k-1,1}.$$

The second term in the right hand side vanishes because $r \leq i$ is equivalent to $s \geq j + 1$ under the condition $r + s = i + j + 1$. Thus (64) is proved.

Proof of (65).

Since both hand sides of (65) are anti-symmetric with respect to i and j , we can assume $i < j$.

(i). the case $0 \leq i \leq n - k$: We use the notation (69) in which $r + s = i + j + 2$ is changed to $r + s = i + j + 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{i-1,j}^{(k)} &= (r \leq i - 1)_1 - (r \geq j + 1)_1, \\
a_{j-1,i}^{(k)} &= a_{i,j-1}^{(k)} = (r \leq i)_1 - (r \geq j)_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{i-1,j}^{(k)} - a_{j-1,i}^{(k)} &= -(r = i)_1 + (r = j)_1 \\
&= -e_{2i} P_{k-1,j+1} + e_{2j} P_{k-1,i+1} \\
&= -e_{2i} P_{k,j} + e_{2j} P_{k,i},
\end{aligned}$$

where to derive the last equation we have used the recursion relation of $P_{r,s}$.

(ii). the case $n - k + 1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$: We use the notation (70) in which $r + s = i + j + 1$ is changed to $r + s = i + j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{i-1,j}^{(k)} &= a_{j,i-1}^{(k)} = (r \leq i - 1)_2 - (r \geq j + 1)_2, \\
a_{j-1,i}^{(k)} &= (r \leq i)_2 - (r \geq j)_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{i-1,j}^{(k)} - a_{j-1,i}^{(k)} &= -(r = i)_2 + (r = j)_2 \\
&= -e_{2i} P_{k,j} + e_{2j} P_{k,i},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (65).

Thus (61) is proved.

The expression (62) follows from (61) in the following manner.

Let η'_k denote the right hand side of (62). Notice that $w_i(X)$ is obtained from $v_i(X)$ by the replacement

$$X^{2r} \rightarrow X^{2r+1}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1.$$

Then it is obvious that η'_k is obtained from $-\eta_k$ by the replacement

$$X^{2r} \rightarrow X^{2r+1}, \quad X^{2r+1} \rightarrow X^{2r}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1. \quad (71)$$

Since

$$\eta_k = \sum a_{ij}^{(k)} X^{2i+1} \wedge X^{2j},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta'_k &= - \sum a_{ij}^{(k)} X^{2i} \wedge X^{2j+1} \\ &= \sum a_{ji}^{(k)} X^{2i+1} \wedge X^{2j} \\ &= \sum a_{ij}^{(k)} X^{2i+1} \wedge X^{2j} = \eta_k, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (62). Thus the proof of Proposition 9 is completed. Q.E.D.

8 Linear independence

In this section we prove

Theorem 8 *The elements $\{\alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\}$ linearly generate $\wedge^\ell \Gamma^{(2n)}$, where I, J, K satisfy (27)-(30).*

As a consequence of Theorem 8 and 7, Theorem 6 is proved.

Let B_ℓ be the linear span of $\{\alpha_I \wedge \beta_J \wedge \omega_K\}$ in $\wedge^\ell \Gamma^{(2n)}$. We use the symbol $\equiv$ for the equality modulo B_ℓ . For the sake of simplicity, in the following, we omit the symbol $\wedge$ of the exterior product and simply write the product for the exterior product.

The conditions (27)-(30) for the product $\alpha_I \beta_J$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell_1})$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell_2})$ are

$$1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{\ell_1} \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{\ell_2} \leq n - \ell_1, \quad \ell_1 + \ell_2 = \ell.$$

The elements $\alpha_I \beta_J$ which does not satisfy (27)-(30) are classified by the number of k of β_j such that $j > n - \ell_1$. We write such j in the form $j = n - r$ with $0 \leq r \leq \ell_1 - 1$ for later convenience. With these notations, in order to prove Theorem 8, it is sufficient to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \dots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{j_1} \dots \beta_{j_{\ell_2-k-1}} \beta_{n-r_k} \dots \beta_{n-r_0} &\equiv 0, \\ 1 \leq i_{\ell_1-1} < \dots < i_0 \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\ell_2-k-1} \leq n - \ell_1, \\ 0 \leq r_0 < \dots < r_k \leq \ell_1 - 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq \ell_1 - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

here we changed the way of numbering the indices of α for the sake of later convenience. We set

$$J' = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell_2-k-1}).$$

Let us consider the element

$$\alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \widehat{\alpha_{i_{r_k}}} \cdots \widehat{\alpha_{i_{r_0}}} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \omega_{n-r_k-i_{r_k}+1} \cdots \omega_{n-r_0-i_{r_0}+1}, \quad (73)$$

where $\widehat{\alpha_{i_{r_k}}}$ means that $\alpha_{i_{r_k}}$ is removed. Since

$$i_s \geq \ell_1 - s, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \ell_1 - 1,$$

we have

$$n+1-r_s-i_{r_s} \leq n+1-\ell_1, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k.$$

Notice that, in (73), the number of α is $\ell_1 - k - 1$ and the number of ω is $k+1$. Then the indices of (73) satisfy (27)-(30) if and only if the indices of $\beta_{j'}$, $\omega_{k'}$ appearing in (73) satisfy $j' \leq n - \ell_1$ and $k' \leq n+1 - \ell_1$. Thus

$$(73) \equiv 0. \quad (74)$$

Let us prove (72) by the induction on k . The case $k=0$ is proved in Proposition 10 below.

Suppose that $k \geq 1$ and (72) is valid until $k-1$. Then it is possible to expand (73) using (60) as

$$\begin{aligned} (73) &\equiv \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \widehat{\alpha_{i_{r_k}}} \cdots \widehat{\alpha_{i_{r_0}}} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \sum_{p_0, \dots, p_k = n - \ell_1 + 1}^n \alpha_{p_k + r_k + i_{r_k}} \beta_{p_k} \cdots \alpha_{p_0 + r_0 + i_{r_0}} \beta_{p_0} \\ &\equiv \pm \sum_{p_0, \dots, p_k = 0}^{\ell_1 - 1} \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_{r_k} + r_k - p_k} \cdots \alpha_{i_{r_0} + r_0 - p_0} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-p_k} \cdots \beta_{n-p_0} \\ &\equiv \pm \sum_{0 \leq p_0 < \dots < p_k \leq \ell_1 - 1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+1}} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_{r_k} + r_k - p_{\sigma_k}} \cdots \alpha_{i_{r_0} + r_0 - p_{\sigma_0}} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \\ &\quad \times \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-p_k} \cdots \beta_{n-p_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where the equation is valid for $k=0$ without any assumption and we use the induction hypothesis for $k \geq 1$. The sign $\pm$ is determined from ℓ_2 , k , $\{r_s\}$. Since it is irrelevant to the following argument, we omit its explicit description.

By (74) and (75) we have

$$0 \equiv \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-r_k} \cdots \beta_{n-r_0} \quad (76)$$

$$+ \sum_{\sigma \neq 1} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_{r_k} + r_k - r_{\sigma_k}} \cdots \alpha_{i_{r_0} + r_0 - r_{\sigma_0}} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-r_k} \cdots \beta_{n-r_0} \quad (77)$$

$$+ \sum'_{0 \leq p_0 < \dots < p_k \leq \ell_1 - 1} \sum_{\sigma} (\text{the summand of (75)}),$$

where $\sum'$ means that $(p_0, \dots, p_k) = (r_0, \dots, r_k)$ is excluded.

Lemma 17 *If a summand of (77) is proportional to*

$$\alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-r_k} \cdots \beta_{n-r_0}, \quad (78)$$

it coincides with (78).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that, if

$$(i_{r_k} + r_k - r_{\sigma_k}, \dots, i_{r_0} + r_0 - r_{\sigma_0})$$

is a permutation of $(i_{r_k}, \dots, i_{r_0})$, then

$$(i_{r_k} + r_k - r_{\sigma_k}, \dots, i_{r_0} + r_0 - r_{\sigma_0}) = (i_{r_{\sigma_k}}, \dots, i_{r_{\sigma_0}}). \quad (79)$$

Notice that

$$i_{r_k} + R_k \leq \dots \leq i_{r_1} + R_1 \leq i_{r_0}, \quad R_s = r_s - r_0. \quad (80)$$

We set $j_0 = i_{r_0}$ for the sake of simplicity and write

$$(i_{r_k} + R_k, \dots, i_{r_0} + R_0) = ((j_0 - N)^{M_N} \dots (j_0 - 1)^{M_1} j_0^{M_0}), \quad M_N \neq 0,$$

where

$$(j_0 - s)^{M_s} = j_0 - s, \dots, j_0 - s \quad (M_s \text{ terms}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i_{r_k}, \dots, i_{r_0}) &= (j_0 - N - R_k, \dots, j_0), \\ (i_{r_k} + r_k - r_{\sigma_k}, \dots, i_{r_0} + r_0 - r_{\sigma_0}) &= ((j_0 - N)^{M_N} \dots j_0^{M_0}) - (R_{\sigma_k}, \dots, R_{\sigma_0}). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Suppose that (81) is a permutation of $(i_{r_k}, \dots, i_{r_0})$. Notice the term which coincides with i_{r_k} . If

$$j_0 - a - R_s = i_{r_k} = j_0 - N - R_k,$$

for some $0 \leq a \leq N$, then obviously we have $a = N$ and $s = k$. Next consider the term which coincides with $i_{r_{k-1}}$ and so on. In this way we see that, for each $0 \leq s \leq N$,

$$(\sigma_{k-M_N-\dots-M_s}, \dots, \sigma_{k-M_N-\dots-M_{s-1}+1})$$

is a permutation of

$$(k - M_N - \dots - M_s, \dots, k - M_N - \dots - M_{s-1} + 1).$$

This means

$$\begin{aligned} &((j_0 - N)^{M_N} \dots j_0^{M_0}) - (R_{\sigma_k}, \dots, R_{\sigma_0}) \\ &= (j_0 - N - R_{\sigma_k}, \dots, j_0 - N - R_{\sigma_{k-M_N+1}}, \dots) \\ &= (i_{r_{\sigma_k}}, \dots, i_{r_{\sigma_0}}). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Let $M - 1$ be the number of (78) appearing in (77). Then we can solve the equation (76) as

$$\begin{aligned} &M \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \dots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-r_k} \dots \beta_{n-r_0} \\ &\equiv - \sum'_{0 \leq p_0 < \dots < p_k \leq \ell_1-1} \sum'_{\sigma} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \dots \alpha_{i_{r_k}+r_k-p_{\sigma_k}} \dots \alpha_{i_{r_0}+r_0-p_{\sigma_0}} \dots \alpha_{i_0} \\ &\quad \times \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-p_k} \dots \beta_{n-p_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

where $\sum'$ means that the terms proportional to (78) are excluded from the sum.

In order to simplify the notation we set

$$(i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0 | n - r_k, \dots, n - r_0) := \alpha_{i_{\ell_1-1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_0} \beta_{J'} \beta_{n-r_k} \cdots \beta_{n-r_0}. \quad (83)$$

Notice that in the left hand side J' does not appear. We omit J' because J' remains same in all the arguments below.

Let us consider the map among elements of the form (83) and 0:

$$\begin{aligned} & (i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0 | n - r_k, \dots, n - r_0) \\ & \mapsto (i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_{r_k} + r_k - p_{\sigma_k}, \dots, i_{r_0} + r_0 - p_{\sigma_0}, \dots, i_0 | n - p_k, \dots, n - p_0), \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where $\{r_s\}$, $\{p_s\}$, σ , $\{i_s\}$ should satisfy

$$0 \leq r_0 < \dots < r_k \leq \ell_1 - 1, \quad 0 \leq p_0 < \dots < p_k \leq \ell_1 - 1, \quad (85)$$

$$\text{LHS} \neq \pm \text{RHS}, \quad (86)$$

$$1 \leq i_{\ell_1-1} < \dots < i_0 \leq n.$$

For a set of integers $(i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0)$ we define

$$h(i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0) = \max\{i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0\} - \min\{i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0\}.$$

For (83) we set

$$h(i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0 | n - r_k, \dots, n - r_0) := h(i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0).$$

Lemma 18 *Suppose that the right hand side of (84) is written as*

$$\pm(i'_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i'_0 | n - p_k, \dots, n - p_0), \quad i'_{\ell_1-1} \leq \dots \leq i'_0.$$

Then

$$i_{\ell_1-1} \leq i'_{\ell_1-1}, \quad i'_0 \leq i_0.$$

In particular

$$h(i'_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i'_0 | n - p_k, \dots, n - p_0) \leq h(i_{\ell_1-1}, \dots, i_0 | n - p_k, \dots, n - p_0), \quad (87)$$

and the equality holds if and only if

$$i_{\ell_1-1} = i'_{\ell_1-1}, \quad i'_0 = i_0.$$

Proof. Notice that

$$i_s + s \leq i_{s'} + s', \quad 0 \leq s' \leq s \leq \ell_1 - 1.$$

It follows that, for any $0 \leq s \leq \ell_1 - 1$,

$$i_{\ell_1-1} \leq i_{\ell_1-1} + \ell_1 - 1 - p_{\sigma_s} \leq i_{r_s} + r_s - p_{\sigma_s} \leq i_0 - p_{\sigma_s} \leq i_0,$$

which proves the lemma. Q.E.D.

We denote the left hand and the right hand sides of (87) by h' and h respectively. By Lemma 18, the case $h = h'$ occurs only in the following four cases.

- (i). $r_k \neq \ell_1 - 1, r_0 \neq 0$.
- (ii). $r_k \neq \ell_1 - 1, r_0 = 0$ and there exists k_1 such that $i_{r_{k_1}} + r_{k_1} = i_0$.
- (iii). $r_k = \ell_1 - 1, r_0 \neq 0$ and there exists k_1 such that $i_{r_{k_1}} + r_{k_1} - (\ell_1 - 1) = i_{\ell_1 - 1}$.
- (iv). $r_k = \ell_1 - 1, r_0 = 0$ and there exist k_1, k_2 such that

$$i_{r_{k_1}} + r_{k_1} - (\ell_1 - 1) = i_{\ell_1 - 1}, \quad i_{r_{k_2}} + r_{k_2} = i_0.$$

Proposition 10 *After finitely many applications of the maps (84), each element is mapped to zero.*

Proof. Let us prove the proposition by the induction on ℓ_1 . For $\ell_1 = 1$, there is nothing to prove.

Suppose that $\ell_1 > 1$ and the statement holds until $\ell_1 - 1$. Let us prove the ℓ_1 case by the induction on $h = h(i_{\ell_1 - 1}, \dots, i_0)$.

If $h \leq \ell_1 - 2$, there is no $(i_{\ell_1 - 1}, \dots, i_0)$ satisfying $i_{\ell_1 - 1} < \dots < i_0$.

Suppose that $h \geq \ell_1 - 1$. We denote the value of h of the right hand side of (84) by h' . By Lemma 18 $h' \leq h$. If $h' < h$, the statement holds by the induction hypothesis. Let us consider the case $h' = h$ which is divided into (i) to (iv) above.

We first consider the case (iv).

In this case $p_{\sigma_{k_1}} = \ell_1 - 1, p_{\sigma_{k_2}} = 0$. Therefore

$$\sigma_{k_1} = k, \quad \sigma_{k_2} = 0, \quad p_k = \ell_1 - 1, \quad p_0 = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{r_k} + r_k - p_{\sigma_k} &= i_{\ell_1 - 1} + \ell_1 - 1 - p_{\sigma_k} = i_{r_{k_1}} + r_{k_1} - p_{\sigma_k}, \\ i_{r_0} + r_0 - p_{\sigma_0} &= i_0 - p_{\sigma_0} = i_{r_{k_2}} + r_{k_2} - p_{\sigma_0}. \end{aligned}$$

For the value of σ_0, σ_k we have four cases.

- (a). $k_1 = k, k_2 = 0$: We have $\sigma_s \neq 0, k$ for any $1 \leq s \leq k - 1$.
- (b). $k_1 = k, k_2 \neq 0$: We have $\sigma_0 \neq 0, k$.
- (c). $k_1 \neq k, k_2 = 0$: We have $\sigma_k \neq 0, k$.
- (d). $k_1 \neq k, k_2 \neq 0$: We have $\sigma_0, \sigma_k \neq 0, k$.

It follows that the map (84) induces the map

$$\begin{aligned} &(i_{\ell_1 - 2}, \dots, i_1 | n - r_{k-1}, \dots, n - r_1) \\ &\mapsto \pm(\dots, i_{r_{k-1}} + r_{k-1} - p_{\sigma'_{k-1}}, \dots, i_{r_1} + r_1 - p_{\sigma'_1}, \dots | n - p_{k-1}, \dots, n - p_1), \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

where σ' is the permutation of $(1, \dots, k - 1)$ specified according as the cases (a)-(d) above as

- (a). $\sigma'_s = \sigma_s$ for $1 \leq s \leq k - 1$,
- (b). $\sigma'_{k_2} = \sigma_0, \sigma'_s = \sigma_s$ (otherwise),
- (c). $\sigma'_{k_1} = \sigma_k, \sigma'_s = \sigma_s$ (otherwise),
- (d). $\sigma'_{k_1} = \sigma_k, \sigma'_{k_2} = \sigma_0, \sigma'_s = \sigma_s$ (otherwise).

Notice that

$$1 \leq r_1 < \cdots < r_{k-1} \leq \ell_1 - 2, \quad 1 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_{k-1} \leq \ell_1 - 2,$$

and

$$\text{LHS of (88)} = \pm(\text{RHS of (88)})$$

is equivalent to

$$\text{LHS of (84)} = \pm(\text{RHS of (84)}).$$

Let us set

$$I_{s-1} = i_s, \quad r_s - 1 = r'_{s-1}, \quad p_s - 1 = p'_{s-1}, \quad \sigma'_s - 1 = \tau_{s-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} i_{r_s} + r_s - p_{\sigma'_s} &= I_{r'_{s-1}} + r'_{s-1} - p'_{\tau_{s-1}}, \\ 0 \leq r'_1 < \cdots < r'_{k-2} &\leq \ell_1 - 3, \quad 0 \leq p'_1 < \cdots < p'_{k-1} \leq \ell_1 - 3, \end{aligned}$$

and τ is the permutation of $(0, \dots, k-2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (88)} &= (I_{\ell_1-3}, \dots, I_0 | n-1-r'_{k-2}, \dots, n-1-r'_0), \\ \text{RHS of (88)} &= \pm(\cdots, I_{r'_{k-2}} + r'_{k-2} - p'_{\tau_{k-2}}, \dots, I_{r'_0} + r'_0 - p'_{\tau_0}, \cdots | \\ &\quad n-1-p'_{k-2}, \dots, n-1-p'_0), \end{aligned}$$

Thus the map (88) can be considered as the map (84) with the replacements

$$\ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1 - 2, \quad n \rightarrow n - 1, \quad k \rightarrow k - 2.$$

Notice that, in the case (iv), the map beginning from the image of the map (84) is again of the form (iv) if the image is not zero and the value of h is invariant. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis on ℓ_1 , if we apply the maps (84) of the type (iv) sufficiently many times to an element we have zero. Thus the statement is proved in this case.

The cases (ii) and (iii) can be proved in a similar manner. Things we should notify here are the followings. In the case (ii) if the image is non-zero and the next map preserves the value of h , the next map is of type (ii) or (iv). Similarly for the case (iii) the next map is of type (iii) or (iv) under the same condition.

Let us consider the case (i). We divide the case into three.

- (i-i). $p_k \neq \ell_1 - 1, p_0 = 0$: The next map is in the case (ii) for which the statement is already proved as above.
- (i-ii). $p_k = \ell_1 - 1, p_0 \neq 0$: The next map is in the case (iii) for which the statement is already proved as above too.
- (i-iii). $p_k \neq \ell_1 - 1, p_0 \neq 0$:

In this case $k \leq \ell_1 - 3$ and the map (84) induces the map

$$\begin{aligned} &(i_{\ell_1-2}, \dots, i_1 | n - r_k, \dots, n - r_0) \\ &\mapsto (i_{\ell_1-2}, \dots, i_{r_k} + r_k - p_{\sigma_k}, \dots, i_{r_0} + r_0 - p_{\sigma_0}, \dots, i_1 | n - p_k, \dots, n - p_0). \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

We set

$$I_{s-1} = i_s, \quad r_s - 1 = r'_s, \quad p_s - 1 = p'_s.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (89)} &= (I_{\ell_1-3}, \dots, I_0 | n-1-r'_k, \dots, n-1-r'_0), \\ \text{RHS of (89)} &= (I_{\ell_1-3}, \dots, I_{r'_k} + r'_k - p'_{\sigma_k}, \dots, I_{r'_0} + r'_0 - p'_{\sigma_0}, \dots | \\ &\quad n-1-p'_k, \dots, n-1-p'_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (89) can be considered as the map (84) with the modification

$$\ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1 - 2, \quad n \rightarrow n - 1.$$

Therefore sufficiently many compositions of the maps of type (i-iii) leads each element to zero by the induction hypothesis.

Let us summarize what is proved in the case (i). To this end we introduce the case (v) which is (ii) or (iii) or (iv). Then we have the diagram like

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} (i) & \rightarrow & (v) & \rightarrow & (v) & \rightarrow & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \searrow & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & (i) & \rightarrow & (v) & \rightarrow & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \searrow & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \searrow & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & (i) & \rightarrow & (v) & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & & & & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & & & & , \end{array}$$

where the maps directed from NW to SE represent the composition of maps of type (i-iii) and those from W to E represent the composition of maps of type (v). This shows that in the case (i) the statement is proved. Thus for ℓ_1 the statement is proved by the induction on h . Therefore the proposition is proved by the induction on ℓ_1 . Q.E.D.

Finally let us complete the proof of Theorem 8. For $k = 0$, (82) holds. Then by Proposition 10,

$$\text{LHS of (82)} \equiv 0, \tag{90}$$

which proves (72) for $k = 0$. If $k \geq 1$, (75) holds by the induction hypothesis. Consequently (82) is valid. Again by Proposition 10 we have (90) which proves (72) for k . Thus the proof of Theorem 8 is completed. Q.E.D.

9 Fermionic character formula

For a graded vector space $W = \oplus_k W(k)$ such that $W(k)$ is finite dimensional, we define its character by

$$\text{ch } W = \sum_k q^k \dim W(k).$$

We introduce a grading on $U_{2n,\ell}$ by $\deg_2$:

$$U_{2n,\ell} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} U_{2n,\ell}(k), \quad (91)$$

where $U_{2n,\ell}(k)$ is the subspace of elements with degree k . By Proposition 6, Theorem 5, (35) and (36) we have

$$\text{ch } U_{2n,\ell} = q^{n^2} \text{ch } R_{2n} \cdot \text{ch}_{2n,\ell} = \frac{q^{n^2}}{[2n]_q!} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_q. \quad (92)$$

We consider the R_{2n} -module

$$M_{2n,\ell} = \frac{U_{2n,\ell}}{U_{2n,\ell-1} \wedge w_1 + U_{2n,\ell-2} \wedge \xi_1}, \quad (93)$$

here and in the following of this section we omit $(2n)$ of $v_i^{(2n)}$ etc. The denominator of the right hand side of (93) is introduced because for any element P in the denominator, ψ_P vanishes identically due to Theorem 2 (ii), (22) and (24).

Since w_1 and ξ_1 are homogeneous, $M_{2n,\ell}$ is also graded. In this section we shall calculate the character of $M_{2n,\ell}$. To this end we construct an R_{2n} free resolution of $M_{2n,\ell}$.

Define the R_{2n} -linear map φ_ℓ by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\ell : U_{2n,\ell} \oplus U_{2n,\ell-1} &\longrightarrow U_{2n,\ell+1} \oplus U_{2n,\ell}, \\ \varphi_\ell(a, b) &= (a \wedge w_1 + (-1)^\ell b \wedge \xi_1, b \wedge w_1), \end{aligned}$$

and the map ψ_ℓ by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\ell : U_{2n,\ell} \oplus U_{2n,\ell-1} &\longrightarrow U_{2n,\ell+1}, \\ \psi_\ell(a, b) &= a \wedge w_1 + (-1)^\ell b \wedge \xi_1, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $U_{n,0} = R_n$ and $U_{n,\ell} = 0$ for $\ell < 0$. We denote p_ℓ the natural projection:

$$p_\ell : U_{2n,\ell} \longrightarrow M_{2n,\ell}.$$

The relation

$$\varphi_\ell \varphi_{\ell-1} = 0 \quad (94)$$

can be easily verified.

Theorem 9 *The following sequence is exact for $0 \leq \ell \leq n$:*

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{2n,0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} U_{2n,1} \oplus U_{2n,0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\ell-2}} U_{2n,\ell-1} \oplus U_{2n,\ell-2} \xrightarrow{\psi_{\ell-1}} U_{2n,\ell} \xrightarrow{p_\ell} M_{2n,\ell} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We first prove

Lemma 19 *The sequence*

$$U_{2n,m-1} \oplus U_{2n,m-2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} U_{2n,m} \oplus U_{2n,m-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_m} U_{2n,m+1} \oplus U_{2n,m} \quad (95)$$

is exact at the middle term for any $0 \leq m \leq n-1$.

Proof. We have to prove

$$\text{Ker } \varphi_m = \text{Im } \varphi_{m-1}.$$

Lemma 20 *For any $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, we have*

$$\text{ch}(\text{Im } \varphi_m) = \text{ch } U_{2n,m}.$$

Proof. We set

$$\begin{aligned} U'_{2n,m+1} &= \oplus_{1 \in J} R_{2n} v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K \subset U_{2n,m+1}, \\ U''_{2n,m-1} &= \oplus_{1 \notin J} R_{2n} v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K \subset U_{2n,m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in both cases, the sum is taken for I, J, K satisfying (27)-(29). Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_m : U'_{2n,m+1} \oplus U''_{2n,m-1} &\longrightarrow \text{Im } \varphi_m, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_m(a, b) &= (a + (-1)^m b \wedge \xi_1, b \wedge w_1). \end{aligned}$$

Notice the following three properties of the basis $\text{Bas}_\ell = \{v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K\}$ of $U_{2n,\ell}$ given in Theorem 5.

The first is that, if $v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K$ is in $\text{Bas}_{\ell-1}$ and $1 \notin J$, then $v_I \wedge w_1 \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K$ is in Bas_ℓ . We denote the subset of Bas_ℓ consisting of such elements by Bas_ℓ^+ .

The second is that, if $v_I \wedge w_J \wedge \xi_K$ is in $\text{Bas}_{\ell+1}$ and $J = (1, J')$, then $v_I \wedge w_{J'} \wedge \xi_K$ is in Bas_ℓ . We denote the subset of Bas_ℓ consisting of such elements by Bas_ℓ^- .

The third property is

$$\text{Bas}_\ell = \text{Bas}_\ell^+ \sqcup \text{Bas}_\ell^-. \quad (96)$$

It follows that $\tilde{\varphi}_m$ is bijective. Moreover $\tilde{\varphi}_m$ preserves the degree since $\deg_1 w_1 = \deg_1 \xi_1 = 0$. Thus

$$\text{ch}(\text{Im } \varphi_m) = \text{ch } U'_{2n,m+1} + \text{ch } U''_{2n,m-1} = \text{ch } U_{2n,m},$$

where we use (96) to derive the last equation. Thus the lemma is proved. Q.E.D.

We have the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi_m \longrightarrow U_{2n,m} \oplus U_{2n,m-1} \longrightarrow \text{Im } \varphi_m \longrightarrow 0. \quad (97)$$

Then

$$\text{ch}(\text{Im } \varphi_m) = \text{ch } U_{2n,m} + \text{ch } U_{2n,m-1} - \text{ch}(\text{Ker } \varphi_m). \quad (98)$$

For two polynomials $f(q), g(q)$ of q we denote

$$f(q) \geq g(q),$$

if all the coefficients of $f(q) - g(q)$ are non-negative. By (94) we have

$$\text{Im } \varphi_{m-1} \subset \text{Ker } \varphi_m.$$

Then, by (98) and Lemma 20, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\text{Im } \varphi_m) &\leq \text{ch } U_{2n,m} + \text{ch } U_{2n,m-1} - \text{ch}(\text{Im } \varphi_{m-1}) \\ &= \text{ch } U_{2n,m} = \text{ch}(\text{Im } \varphi_m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{Im } \varphi_{m-1} = \text{Ker } \varphi_m, \quad (99)$$

which proves Lemma 19. Q.E.D.

Let us prove

$$\text{Ker } \psi_{\ell-1} = \text{Im } \varphi_{\ell-2}. \quad (100)$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$\text{Ker } \psi_{\ell-1} \subset \text{Im } \varphi_{\ell-2}.$$

Suppose that

$$\psi_{\ell-1}(a, b) = a \wedge w_1 + (-1)^{\ell-1} b \wedge \xi_1 = 0, \quad a \in U_{2n, \ell-1}, \quad b \in U_{2n, \ell-2}.$$

By taking exterior product with w_1 we have

$$(w_1 \wedge b) \wedge \xi_1 = 0.$$

Since the map

$$\xi_1 \wedge : \wedge^m H^{(2n)} \longrightarrow \wedge^{m+2} H^{(2n)}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n-1,$$

is injective as proved in [18] using the representation theory of sl_2 ,

$$w_1 \wedge b = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\varphi_{\ell-1}(a, b) = (0, 0).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 19, we have

$$(a, b) \in \text{Im } \varphi_{\ell-2}.$$

Consequently (100) is proved.

Finally the equation

$$\text{Ker } p_\ell = \text{Im } \psi_{\ell-1}$$

is obvious by the definition of $M_{2n, \ell}$. Thus Theorem 9 is proved. Q.E.D.

Corollary 5 *We have*

$$\text{ch } M_{2n, \ell} = \frac{q^{n^2}}{[2n]_q!} \left(\left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q - \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ \ell-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 9

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } M_{2n, \ell} &= \text{ch } U_{2n, \ell} - \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} (-1)^r (\text{ch } U_{2n, \ell-1-r} + \text{ch } U_{2n, \ell-2-r}) \\ &= \text{ch } U_{2n, \ell} - \text{ch } U_{2n, \ell-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the corollary follows from (92). Q.E.D.

For a non-negative integer λ let us consider the direct sum of $M_{2n,\ell}$ with $n - \ell$ being fixed:

$$M_{2\lambda}^{(0)} := \oplus_{n-\ell=\lambda} M_{2n,\ell}.$$

Then, by Corollary 5, we get

$$\text{ch } M_{2\lambda}^{(0)} = \sum_{n-\ell=\lambda} \frac{q^{n^2}}{[2n]_q!} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_q - \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ \ell-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \right). \quad (101)$$

Let Λ_i , $i = 0, 1$ be the fundamental weights of $\widehat{sl}_2$, $V(\Lambda_i)$ be the level one irreducible highest weight representation with the highest weight Λ_i ,

$$e_i, \quad f_i, \quad h_i, \quad i = 0, 1$$

the Chevalley generators of $\widehat{sl}_2$ and d the scaling element [7]. Consider the subspace of $V(\Lambda_i)$ consisting of sl_2 highest weight vectors with the sl_2 weight μ :

$$V(\Lambda_i|\mu) = \{v \in V(\Lambda_i) \mid e_1 v = 0, \quad h_1 v = \mu v\}.$$

Then

$$\text{ch } M_{2\lambda}^{(0)} = \text{tr}_{V(\Lambda_0|2\lambda)}(q^{-d}),$$

which is the branching function of $2\lambda + 1$ -dimensional irreducible representation of sl_2 in the representation $V(\Lambda_0)$ of $\widehat{sl}_2$. In fact if we multiply (101) by the character $\chi_\lambda(z)$ of the $2\lambda + 1$ -dimensional irreducible representation of sl_2 we get

$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \chi_\lambda(z) \text{ch } M_{2\lambda}^{(0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n} \frac{z^{2n-2\ell} q^{n^2}}{[\ell]_q! [2n-\ell]_q!},$$

which is the fermionic form of the character of $V(\Lambda_0)$ presented in [5, 10].

The space $V(\Lambda_0|2\lambda)$ becomes the irreducible representation of the Virasoro algebra with $c = 1$ and $h = \lambda^2$ [7]. It follows that [13]

$$\text{tr}_{V(\Lambda_0|2\lambda)}(q^{-d}) = \frac{q^{\lambda^2} (1 - q^{2\lambda+1})}{(q; q)_\infty}, \quad (z; q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - zq^j).$$

10 The case of odd number of variables

In this section we study the case where the number of x_j 's is odd. The structure is similar to the even case. But there are some differences in the construction of a basis. Thus we consider this case separately. We omit the proofs of the statements if they are quite similar to the even case.

Let us define $P_{r,s}^{(2n+1)}$, $1 \leq r \leq n+1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the same recursion relation as (18):

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,s}^{(2n+1)} &= e_{2s-1}^{(2n+1)}, \\ P_{r,s}^{(2n+1)} &= P_{r-1,s+1}^{(2n+1)} - e_{2s}^{(2n+1)} P_{r-1,1}^{(2n+1)} \quad \text{for } r \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

Then $P_{r,s}^{(2n+1)} = 0$ for $s \leq 0$.

Proposition 11 (i). $P_{r,s}^{(2n+1)} = 0$ for $r \geq 2$ and $s \geq n+1$.

(ii). $\overline{P_{r,s}^{(2n+1)}} = P_{r,s}^{(2n-1)} - x^2 P_{r,s-1}^{(2n-1)}$.

Let us define $v_i^{(2n+1)}, w_i^{(2n+1)}, 0 \leq i \leq n$ by

$$v_0^{(2n+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{2j}^{(2n+1)} X^{2j}, \quad v_i^{(2n+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} P_{i,j}^{(2n+1)} X^{2(j-1)} \quad (i \neq 0), \quad w_i^{(2n+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} P_{i+1,j}^{(2n+1)} X^{2j-1}.$$

By Proposition 11

$$\rho_{\pm}(v_i^{(2n+1)}) = \rho_{\pm}(w_i^{(2n+1)}) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Notice that

$$w_0^{(2n+1)} \notin H^{(2n+1)}, \quad v_i^{(2n+1)}, w_j^{(2n+1)} \in H^{(2n+1)}, \quad j \neq 0.$$

We set, for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\xi_k^{(2n+1)}(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{X_1 - X_2}{X_1 + X_2} \left(v_0^{(2n+1)}(X_1) w_{k-1}^{(2n+1)}(X_2) + v_0^{(2n+1)}(X_2) w_{k-1}^{(2n+1)}(X_1) \right) \\ &\quad + v_0^{(2n+1)}(X_1) w_{k-1}^{(2n+1)}(X_2) - v_0^{(2n+1)}(X_2) w_{k-1}^{(2n+1)}(X_1). \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

Then

$$\xi_k^{(2n+1)} \in H^{(2n+1)}, \quad \rho_{\pm}(\xi_k^{(2n+1)}) = 0,$$

which means

$$\xi_k^{(2n+1)} \in U_{2n+1,2}.$$

We have the relation

$$\Xi_1^{(2n+1)} = v_0^{(2n+1)}, \quad \Xi_2^{(2n+1)} = \xi_1^{(2n+1)}.$$

We set

$$Bas_{\ell}^{o-} = \{v_I^{(2n+1)} \wedge w_J^{(2n+1)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n+1)}\}, \quad Bas_{\ell}^{o+} = v_0^{(2n+1)} \wedge Bas_{\ell-1}^{o-},$$

where I, J, K satisfy (27)-(30) and define

$$Bas_{\ell}^o = Bas_{\ell}^{o+} \sqcup Bas_{\ell}^{o-}.$$

It follows from the even case that the cardinality of Bas_{ℓ}^o is

$$\#Bas_{\ell}^o = \#Bas_{\ell-1}^{o-} + \#Bas_{\ell}^{o-} = \binom{2n}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n}{\ell} = \binom{2n+1}{\ell}.$$

The degrees of elements are given by

$$\deg_1 v_0^{(2n+1)} = 0, \quad \deg_1 v_i^{(2n+1)} = 2i - 1 \quad (i \neq 0), \quad \deg_1 w_j^{(2n+1)} = 2j, \quad \deg_1 \xi_k^{(2n+1)} = 2k - 2.$$

The structure of $U_{2n+1,\ell}$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 10 (i). The module $U_{2n+1,\ell}$ is a free R_{2n+1} -module of rank $\binom{2n+1}{\ell}$ with Bas_ℓ^o as a basis.
(ii). The character $ch_{2n+1,\ell}$ of $U_{2n+1,\ell}$ with respect to $\deg_1$ is given by

$$ch_{2n+1,\ell} = \left[\begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

Let us first prove (ii) assuming (i). Let

$$b_{n,\ell}^\pm = \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2\pm 1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 \quad \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}.$$

By Proposition 6 we have

$$b_{n,\ell}^- = \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

We easily have

$$ch_{2n+1,\ell} = b_{n,\ell-1}^+ + b_{n,\ell}^+, \quad (104)$$

where in the right hand side the first and the second terms are the characters of Bas_ℓ^{o+} and Bas_ℓ^{o-} respectively. Notice a similar identity to (49):

$$[\ell_2]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 \quad \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} = [\ell_3 + 1]_{q^2} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 - 1 \quad \ell_3 + 1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} q^{2\ell_2} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 \quad \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} &= \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 \quad \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} - \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 - 1 \quad \ell_3 + 1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \\ &\quad + q^{2(\ell_3+1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 - 1 \quad \ell_3 + 1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this relation we rewrite $b_{n,\ell}^+$ as

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,\ell}^+ &= \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2-1)+2\ell_2} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 \quad \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \\ &= \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell+1, \ell_3 \geq 1} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2+1)} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 \quad \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2+2\ell_3=\ell+1, \ell_3 \geq 1} q^{\ell_1^2+\ell_2(\ell_2+1)+2\ell_3} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \ell_1 \quad \ell_2 \quad \ell_3 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \quad (106)$$

We easily have

$$(105) + (106) = q^{\ell+1} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ \ell+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q - b_{n,\ell+1}^+.$$

The desired result follows from (40). Q.E.D

The proof of (i) consists of two parts, the linear independence and the generation of the space $U_{2n+1,\ell}$. Let us first prove the linear independence. It is proved by the specialization argument as in the even case using the result of the even case.

Consider the specialization of variables:

$$e_{2n+1}^{(2n+1)} = -e_{2n}^{(2n+1)} = 1, \quad e_j^{(2n+1)} = 0, \quad j \neq 2n, 2n+1. \quad (107)$$

Proposition 12 *At (107) we have*

(i).

$$P_{r,s}^{(2n+1)} = \begin{cases} 1, & s = n+2-r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(ii).

$$v_0^{(2n+1)} = 1 - X^{2n}, \quad v_i^{(2n+1)} = X^{2(n+1-i)}, \quad i \neq 0, \quad w_j^{(2n+1)} = X^{2n+1-2j},$$

(iii).

$$\xi_k^{(2n+1)} = - \sum_{r=1}^n v_{k-r}^{(2n+1)} \wedge w_r^{(2n+1)},$$

where the index $k-r$ of $v_{k-r}^{(2n+1)}$ is read by modulo n in the representative $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

At (107) we define $\gamma, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= v_0^{(2n+1)}, \quad \alpha_1 = v_n^{(2n+1)}, \quad \alpha_i = v_{n+1-i}^{(2n+1)}, \quad i \geq 2, \\ \beta_i &= w_i^{(2n+1)}, \quad \omega_i = \sum_{r=1}^n \alpha_{r-i+1} \wedge \beta_r, \end{aligned}$$

where the indices of α_i, β_j are considered by modulo n in the representative $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By Proposition 12, $\{\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma\}$ are linearly independent.

Corresponding to Bas_ℓ^{o-} we define

$$SBas_\ell^{o-} = \{\alpha_I^{(2n+1)} \wedge \beta_J^{(2n+1)} \wedge \omega_K^{(2n+1)}\},$$

where I, J, K satisfy (27)-(30). By Theorem 7 and 8, elements of $SBas_\ell^{o-}$ are linearly independent. Thus elements of

$$\gamma \wedge SBas_{\ell-1}^{o-} \sqcup SBas_\ell^{o-}$$

are linearly independent. Consequently elements of Bas_ℓ^o are linearly independent over R_{2n+1} .

Next let us prove that elements of Bas_ℓ^o generate $U_{2n+1,\ell}$ over R_{2n+1} . Number the elements in Bas_ℓ^o from 1 to $\binom{2n+1}{\ell}$ and name the r -th element Q_r . Expand Q_r as in (53) and define the $\binom{2n+1}{\ell}$ by $\binom{2n+1}{\ell}$ matrix $X^{(2n+1,\ell)}$ by the similar formula to (54). The assertion follows from

Proposition 13 *We have*

$$\det X^{(2n+1,\ell)} = c \cdot (\Delta_{2n+1}^+)^{\binom{2n}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-1}{\ell-1}},$$

for some non-zero constant c .

Let

$$d_{I,J,K}^o = \deg_1 v_I^{(2n+1)} \wedge w_J^{(2n+1)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n+1)}, \quad (108)$$

and

$$d^{(2n+1,\ell)} = \sum_{I,J,K} d_{I,J,K}^o + \sum_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_\ell \leq 2n} (s_1 + \dots + s_\ell),$$

where (I, J, K) runs index sets such that $v_I^{(2n+1)} \wedge w_J^{(2n+1)} \wedge \xi_K^{(2n+1)} \in Bas_\ell^o$. Then Proposition 13 follows from

Lemma 21

$$d^{(2n+1,\ell)} = \binom{2n+1}{2} \left(\binom{2n}{\ell-1} + \binom{2n-1}{\ell-1} \right).$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 10.

In this way (i) of Theorem 10 is proved. Q.E.D.

In the following we omit $(2n+1)$ of $v_i^{(2n+1)}$ etc.

Let

$$M_{2n+1,\ell} = \frac{U_{2n+1,\ell}}{U_{2n+1,\ell-1} \wedge v_0 + U_{2n+1,\ell-2} \wedge \xi_1}.$$

We define the maps $\varphi_\ell^o, \psi_\ell^o, p_\ell^o$ replacing $U_{2n,\ell}$ by $U_{2n+1,\ell}$, w_1 by v_0 and $M_{2n,\ell}$ by $M_{2n+1,\ell}$ in the definition of φ_ℓ, ψ_ℓ and p_ℓ . We introduce a grading on $M_{2n+1,\ell}$ by $\deg_2$.

Then

Theorem 11 (i). *The following sequence is exact for $0 \leq \ell \leq n$:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow U_{2n+1,0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^o} U_{2n+1,1} \oplus U_{2n+1,0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^o} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\ell-2}^o} U_{2n+1,\ell-1} \oplus U_{2n+1,\ell-2} \\ \xrightarrow{\psi_{\ell-1}^o} U_{2n+1,\ell} \xrightarrow{p_\ell^o} M_{2n+1,\ell} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii). *We have*

$$\text{ch } M_{2n+1,\ell} = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}(2n+1)^2}}{[2n+1]_q!} \left(\left[\begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q - \left[\begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ \ell-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \right).$$

Similarly to the even case if we set

$$M_{2\lambda+1}^{(1)} = \oplus_{n-\ell=\lambda} M_{2n+1,\ell},$$

we have

$$\text{ch } M_{2\lambda+1}^{(1)} = \sum_{n-\ell=\lambda} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}(2n+1)^2}}{[2n+1]_q!} \left(\left[\begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q - \left[\begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ \ell-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \right)$$

which is equal to

$$\text{tr}_{V(\Lambda_1|2\lambda+1)}(q^{-d+\frac{1}{4}}) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}(2\lambda+1)^2}(1-q^{2\lambda+2})}{(q:q)_\infty}.$$

A Proof of Proposition 2

Let us write the integrand of $I_M(P)$ by $J_M(P)$:

$$I_M(P) = \int_{C^\ell} \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} d\alpha_a J_M(P).$$

We simply write Res instead of writing

$$\text{Res}_{\beta_n=\beta_{n-1}+\pi i}.$$

We divide the case into four: (I). $n-1, n \notin M$, (II). $n-1 \in M, n \notin M$, (III) $n-1 \notin M, n \in M$, (IV). $n-1 \in M, n \in M$. As in the proof of Proposition 3 in [12] one can calculate the residue in the following way according as the four cases.

(I).

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Res } I_M(P) = \text{Res} \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_a=\beta_{n-1}-\pi i} J_M(P)) \prod_{b \neq a} d\alpha_b.$$

(II).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Res } I_M(P) &= \text{Res} \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_a=\beta_{n-1}-\pi i} J_M(P)) \prod_{b \neq a} d\alpha_b \\ &\quad - \text{Res} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_\ell=\beta_{n-1}} J_M(P)) \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} d\alpha_b. \end{aligned}$$

(III).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Res } I_M(P) &= \text{Res} \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_a=\beta_{n-1}-\pi i} J_M(P)) \prod_{b \neq a} d\alpha_b \\ &\quad - \text{Res} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_\ell=\beta_{n-1}} J_M(P)) \prod_{b=1}^{\ell-1} d\alpha_b \\ &\quad + \text{Res} \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_a=\beta_{n-1}+\pi i} J_M(P)) \prod_{b \neq a} d\alpha_b. \end{aligned}$$

(IV).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Res } I_M(P) &= \text{Res} \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_a=\beta_{n-1}-\pi i} J_M(P)) \prod_{b \neq a} d\alpha_b \\ &\quad - \text{Res} \sum_{a=\ell-1, \ell} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_a=\beta_{n-1}} J_M(P)) \prod_{b \neq a} d\alpha_b \\ &\quad + \text{Res} \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \int_{C^{\ell-1}} (\text{Res}_{\alpha_a=\beta_{n-1}+\pi i} J_M(P)) \prod_{b \neq a} d\alpha_b. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem can be proved by the calculations using these formulae.

References

- [1] Babelon, O., Bernard, D. and Smirnov, F., Null-vectors in integrable field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **186** (1997), 601-648.
- [2] Cardy, J. and Mussardo, G., Form factors of descendent operators in perturbed conformal field theories, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990), 387-402.
- [3] Christe, P., Factorized characters and form factors of descendant operators in perturbed conformal systems, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A6** (1991), 5271-5286.
- [4] Frenkel, I. and Reshetikhin, N., Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **146** (1992), 1-60.
- [5] Kedem, R., McCoy, B. and Melzer, E., The sums of Rogers, Schur and Ramanujan and the Bose-Fermi correspondence in $1 + 1$ -dimensional quantum field theory, in *Recent Progress in Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory*, Ed. P. Bouwknegt et al. World Scientific, Singapore (1995), 195-219.
- [6] Koubek, A., The space of local operators in perturbed conformal field theories, *Nucl. Phys. B* **435** (1995), 703-734.
- [7] Kac, V., Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, third edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [8] Kirillov, A.N. and Smirnov, F., A representation of the current algebra connected with the $su(2)$ -invariant Thirring model, *Phys. Lett. B* **198** (1987), 506-510.
- [9] Macdonald, I.G., Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, second edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [10] Melzer, E., The many faces of a character, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **31** (1994), 233-246.
- [11] Nakayashiki, A., Pakuliak, S. and Tarasov, V., On solutions of the KZ and qKZ equations at level zero, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **71** (1999), 459-496.
- [12] Nakayashiki, A., Takeyama, Y., On form factors of $SU(2)$ invariant Thirring model, in *Math-Phys Odyssey 2001, Integrable Models and Beyond- in honor of Barry M. McCoy*, ed. Kashiwara, M. and Miwa, T., Progr. in Math. Phys., Birkhäuser, 2002, 357-390.
- [13] Rocha-Caridi, A., Vacuum vector representations of the Virasoro algebra, in *Vertex operators in Mathematics and Physics*, ed. Leowsky, J. et al. Springer, Berlin, 1985, 451-473.
- [14] Smirnov, F., Lectures on integrable massive models of quantum field theory, *Nankai Lectures on Mathem. Physics* (Ge, M-L. and Zhao, B-H. eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1990, 1-68.
- [15] Smirnov, F., Dynamical symmetries of massive integrable models, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7 Suppl. 1B** (1992), 813-837.
- [16] Smirnov, F., Form factors in completely integrable models of quantum field theories, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [17] Smirnov, F., Counting the local fields in SG theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* **453** (1995), 807-824.
- [18] Tarasov, V., Completeness of the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equations at level zero, *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations Ser.2* **201** (2000), 309-321